

## 11. Lecture 11 (Feb 26): Quasi-coherent sheaves

*Recommended reading:* Kempf §5, Hartshorne II.5

### 11.1. More on quasi-coherent sheaves

**Lemma 11.1.1.** *Let  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$  be an affine scheme and let*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \longrightarrow 0$$

*be a short exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. If  $\mathcal{F}'$  is quasi-coherent, then*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}''(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

*is exact.*

*Proof.* As sequence is always left-exact, we have to show that the right map is surjective. Let  $s \in \mathcal{F}''(X)$ . Since  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''$  is surjective, there exists an open cover  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$  such that  $s|_{U_\alpha}$  lies in the image of  $\mathcal{F}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(U_\alpha)$  for every  $\alpha \in I$ . Since  $X$  is quasi-compact and distinguished affine opens form a base, we may assume that the index set  $I$  is finite and that  $U_\alpha = D(f_\alpha)$  for some elements  $f_\alpha \in A$  generating the unit ideal. Refining the cover further, we may assume that in addition the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}'$  to each  $U_\alpha$  is of the form  $\tilde{M}_\alpha$  for some  $\mathcal{O}(U_\alpha)$ -module  $M_\alpha$ . Now consider the commutative diagram of Čech complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}'(X) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{F}'(U_\alpha) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\alpha, \beta \in I} \mathcal{F}'(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in I} \mathcal{F}'(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(X) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\alpha, \beta \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}''(X) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{F}''(U_\alpha) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\alpha, \beta \in I} \mathcal{F}''(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in I} \mathcal{F}''(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma) & \longrightarrow & \dots
 \end{array}$$

We make the following observations:

- The diagram commutes (since the formation of the Čech complex is functorial).
- The columns are exact (by left-exactness of taking sections), i.e. exact after forgetting the bottom zero.
- All three rows are exact until the third column (the one with  $\prod_{\alpha, \beta \in I}$ ). This is the sheaf condition.
- By Lemma 10.2.4 we know that  $\mathcal{F}'$  is of the form  $\tilde{M}$  for some  $A$ -module  $M$ , and hence the top row (the one with  $\mathcal{F}'$ ) is exact, by Lemma 9.1.1 proved earlier.

To finish the argument, we perform a tedious diagram chase which is best explained on the blackboard or done by oneself. We shall use the symbol  $d$  for the horizontal (Čech) differentials. By assumption, the image  $(s|_{U_\alpha}) \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{F}''(U_\alpha)$  of  $s \in \mathcal{F}''(X)$  is the image of an element  $(t_\alpha) \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha)$ . If  $d(t_\alpha) = 0 \in \prod_{\alpha, \beta \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ , then by exactness of the middle row it comes from a unique  $t \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , which then maps to  $s \in \mathcal{F}''(X)$  and we are done. In general, the image

$$d(t_\alpha) = (t_\alpha|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} - t_\beta|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}) \in \prod_{\alpha, \beta \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$$

maps via the vertical map to

$$d(s_\alpha) = (s|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} - s|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}) = 0 \in \prod_{\alpha, \beta \in I} \mathcal{F}''(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta),$$

and hence by exactness of the third column it is the image of an element  $(u_{\alpha\beta}) \in \prod_{\alpha, \beta \in I} \mathcal{F}'(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ . Again, the image of  $d(u_{\alpha\beta})$  in  $\prod_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma)$  is  $d(d(t_\alpha)) = 0$ . By the injectivity of the vertical maps from the 1st to the 2nd row, we deduce that  $d(u_{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ . By exactness of the 1st row (here we use the additional assumption  $\mathcal{F}' = \tilde{M}$ ) we obtain a  $(u_\alpha) \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{F}'(U_\alpha)$  such that

$$(u_\alpha|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} - u_\beta|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}) = d(u_\alpha) = (u_{\alpha\beta}).$$

Now set

$$t'_\alpha = t_\alpha - u_\alpha.$$

As  $u_\alpha$  maps to zero in  $\mathcal{F}''(U_\alpha)$ , the image of  $(t'_\alpha)$  in  $\prod_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{F}''(U_\alpha)$  equals  $(s_\alpha)$ . We check that

$$d(t'_\alpha) = d(t_\alpha) - d(u_\alpha) = d(t_\alpha) - (u_{\alpha\beta}) = 0,$$

and again we deduce the existence of a unique  $t' \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  whose image in  $\mathcal{F}''(X)$  equals  $s$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 11.1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme or an algebraic set and let*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \longrightarrow 0$$

*be a short exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. If  $\mathcal{F}'$  and  $\mathcal{F}''$  are quasi-coherent, then so is  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion is local so we may assume that  $X$  is affine. By Lemma 11.1.1, the sequence of  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}''(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{F}'(X)} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{F}(X)} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{F}''(X)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}'' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

in which the vertical arrows are the counit maps (see Lemma 10.2.4). The top row is exact by exactness of the functor  $\widetilde{(-)}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}'$  and  $\mathcal{F}''$  are quasi-coherent, the left and right maps are isomorphisms. The five lemma implies that so is the middle one, so that  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent.  $\square$

Functorial properties of quasi-coherent sheaves:

**Lemma 11.1.3.** *Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a map of schemes or algebraic sets.*

- (a) *For every quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$ , the pull-back  $f^*\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent.*
- (b) *The same holds for coherent sheaves if  $X$  and  $Y$  are algebraic sets or locally noetherian schemes.*
- (c) *Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are noetherian schemes<sup>5</sup> or algebraic sets. Then for every quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $\mathcal{G}$ , the push-forward  $f_*\mathcal{G}$  is a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.*

<sup>5</sup>More generally the proof shows the claim if  $f$  is quasi-compact and quasi-separated [SP Section 01KH].

*Proof.* Assertions (a) and (b) are local on  $Y$ , and hence are easily reduced to the case  $X$  and  $Y$  affine, in which situation we might apply Lemma 10.1.6(a). For (c), this is local on  $X$ , and we may assume that  $X$  is affine. If  $Y$  is also affine, we win by Lemma 10.1.6(b). In general, since  $Y$  is quasi-compact, we can cover it by finitely many affines  $U_\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ ). Since  $Y$  is a noetherian topological space, the intersections  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$  are quasi-compact, so we can cover them by finitely many affines  $U_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  ( $\gamma = 1, \dots, m(\alpha, \beta)$ ). Let  $j_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow X$  and  $j_{\alpha\beta\gamma}: U_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \rightarrow X$  be the inclusions. We can now express  $\mathcal{F}$  as the kernel

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_\alpha j_{\alpha,*} j_\alpha^* \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} j_{\alpha\beta\gamma,*} j_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^* \mathcal{F},$$

(technically these are more canonically the products not direct sums, but since the index sets are finite, it does not matter). Since  $f_*$  is left-exact and commutes with direct sums, we obtain a description of  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  as the kernel

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & f_*\mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & f_* \bigoplus_\alpha j_{\alpha,*} j_\alpha^* \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & f_* \bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} j_{\alpha\beta\gamma,*} j_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^* \mathcal{F} \\ & & & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & & & & \bigoplus_\alpha (f \circ j_\alpha)_*(\mathcal{F}|_{U_\alpha}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\alpha\beta\gamma} (f \circ j_{\alpha\beta\gamma})_*(\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\alpha\beta\gamma}}). \end{array}$$

Since each  $f \circ j_\alpha$  and  $f \circ j_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  is a map between affines, every summand above is quasi-coherent, and hence so is  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

## 11.2. Fibers of coherent sheaves

**Definition 11.2.1.** Let  $X$  be a scheme or an algebraic set and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. The **fiber** of  $\mathcal{F}$  at a point  $x \in X$  is

$$\mathcal{F}(x) \in \mathcal{F}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} \kappa(x),$$

a vector space over the residue field  $\kappa(x)$ .

As a corollary of Nakayama's lemma, we have:

**Lemma 11.2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme or an algebraic set and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then:*

- (a)  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \mathcal{F}(x) < \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
- (b) a map of quasi-coherent sheaves  $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is surjective if and only if the maps  $\mathcal{F}'(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(x)$  are surjective for all  $x \in X$ ;
- (c)  $\mathcal{F} = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Moreover, in the case of a scheme, it is enough to consider only closed points in (b) and (c).

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and let  $M = \mathcal{F}_x$ , which is a finitely generated module over the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ , and then  $\mathcal{F}(x) = M \otimes \kappa(x)$  is of finite dimension, showing (a). By Nakayama's lemma, a map of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ -modules  $N \rightarrow M$  is surjective if and only if  $N \otimes \kappa(x) \rightarrow M \otimes \kappa(x)$  is surjective. Since a map of sheaves is surjective if and only if it is surjective on stalks, this shows (b), and (c) follows as a special case  $\mathcal{F}' = 0$ . As for the "moreover" part, we may assume that  $X$  is affine and that  $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{M}$  for a finitely generated module  $M$  over  $A = \mathcal{O}(X)$ . The set  $\text{supp}(M)$  of all primes  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$  such that  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$  is a closed subset of  $\text{Spec}(A)$  (as it is equal to  $V(\text{Ann}(M))$  where  $\text{Ann}(M) = \{a \in A : aM = 0\}$ ). This shows the stronger form of (c), and the stronger form of (a) follows similarly if we consider the cokernel of  $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  in place of  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

### 11.3. Affine and finite morphisms

Recall from Lecture 9 that a morphism of schemes or algebraic sets  $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$  is **affine** if for every affine  $U \subseteq X$ , the preimage  $\phi^{-1}(U) \subseteq Y$  is affine. It is **finite** if moreover  $\mathcal{O}(\phi^{-1}(U))$  is a finite  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module for every affine  $U \subseteq X$ .

**Proposition 11.3.1.** (a) *Let  $X$  be a scheme. For every affine morphism  $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ , the push-forward  $\phi_*\mathcal{O}_Y$  is a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra. The construction  $(\phi: Y \rightarrow X) \mapsto \phi_*\mathcal{O}_Y$  defines an equivalence of categories*

$$\{\text{affine morphisms } Y \rightarrow X\}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{quasi-coherent } \mathcal{O}_X\text{-algebras}\}.$$

(b) *If  $X$  is locally noetherian, the same construction gives an equivalence*

$$\{\text{finite morphisms } Y \rightarrow X\}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{coherent } \mathcal{O}_X\text{-algebras}\}.$$

(c) *A morphism  $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$  is affine (resp. finite) if and only if there exists an affine open cover  $X = \bigcup U_\alpha$  such that the preimages  $\phi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  are affine (resp. and  $c\mathcal{O}(\phi^{-1}(U_\alpha))$  is finite over  $\mathcal{O}(U_\alpha)$ ) for every  $\alpha$ .*

(d) *In particular, if  $X$  is affine, then  $Y \rightarrow X$  is affine (resp. finite) if and only if  $Y$  is affine (resp. and  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$  is finite over  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ ).*

The inverse functor is called the **relative spectrum**  $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathbf{Spec}_X(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Remark 11.3.2.** Let  $X$  be an algebraic set. Then similarly we have equivalences as above,

$$\{\text{affine morphisms } Y \rightarrow X\}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{reduced quasi-coherent } \mathcal{O}_X\text{-algebras of finite type}\}.$$

and

$$\{\text{finite morphisms } Y \rightarrow X\}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{reduced coherent } \mathcal{O}_X\text{-algebras}\}.$$

**Example 11.3.3** (Normalization). Let  $X$  be an integral scheme or an irreducible algebraic set, let  $K = k(X)$  be its function field, and let  $L/K$  be a finite extension of fields. Consider the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  defined on non-empty affine opens  $U \subseteq X$  by

$$\mathcal{A}(U) = \text{integral closure of } \mathcal{O}(U) \text{ in } L.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a quasi-coherent algebra, and the corresponding affine morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  is called the **normalization of  $X$  in  $L$** . By “finiteness of integral closure,” if  $X$  is an irreducible algebraic set, a scheme of finite type over a field, or a locally noetherian scheme and  $L/K$  is separable, then the morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  is finite. We shall primarily use this construction in two cases:  $L = K$  (in which case we call  $Y$  the normalization of  $X$ ), or when  $X$  is a smooth curve.

**Example 11.3.4.** Let  $\pi: \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be the projection map. The preimage of the standard affine open  $U_i = D(x_i) \simeq \mathbb{A}^n$  is the open subset  $D(x_i) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ . Thus  $\pi$  is affine. The corresponding coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ -algebra is the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}(d)$  (see the next lecture).