

SERRE–TATE THEORY FOR CALABI–YAU VARIETIES

PIOTR ACHINGER AND MACIEJ ZDANOWICZ

ABSTRACT. Classical Serre–Tate theory describes deformations of ordinary abelian varieties. It implies that every such variety has a canonical lift to characteristic zero and equips its local moduli space with a Frobenius lifting and canonical multiplicative coordinates. A variant of this theory has been obtained for ordinary K3 surfaces by Nygaard and Ogus.

In this paper, we construct canonical liftings modulo p^2 of varieties with trivial canonical class which are ordinary in the weak sense that the Frobenius acts bijectively on the top cohomology of the structure sheaf. Consequently, we obtain a Frobenius lifting on the moduli space of such varieties. The quite explicit construction uses Frobenius splittings and a relative version of Witt vectors of length two. If the variety has a smooth deformation space and bijective first higher Hasse–Witt operation, the Frobenius lifting gives rise to canonical coordinates.

We also extend Nygaard’s approach from K3 surfaces to higher dimensions, and show that no nontrivial families of such varieties exist over simply connected bases with no global one-forms.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Deformations of varieties with trivial canonical class. Let X be a smooth and projective algebraic variety with trivial canonical class (i.e. $c_1(X) = 0 \in \text{Pic } X$) over an algebraically closed field k . A fundamental result, due to Bogomolov [Bog78], Tian [Tia87], and Todorov [Tod89] (see also [Kaw92, Kaw97, Ran92]), and called the BTT theorem, states that if $k = \mathbf{C}$, then deformations of X are unobstructed; in other words, its local deformation space – or Kuranishi space – is smooth. Moreover, the base of a modular family of such X carries a structure of an affine manifold (equivalently, a torsion-free flat holomorphic connection on the tangent bundle), giving rise to local canonical flat coordinates. These facts are relevant in mathematical mirror symmetry.

In contrast, if k has characteristic $p > 0$, then the assertion of the BTT theorem is no longer true (see e.g. [Hir99, Sch04]), even if one considers deformations in the equi-characteristic direction [CvS09, Proposition 5.4]. Important results towards a characteristic p version of the BTT theorem were given by Ekedahl and Shepherd-Barron [ESB05] and Schröer [Sch03], but in general the deformation theory of varieties with trivial canonical class in positive characteristic remains a mystery. This is a big obstacle for the development of arithmetic mirror symmetry.

On the other hand, all known examples of varieties with trivial canonical class in positive characteristic which do not lift to characteristic zero are ‘supersingular’ in the broad sense that the Hasse–Witt operation, i.e. the map

$$(1.1.1) \quad F^*: H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X), \quad d = \dim X$$

induced by the absolute Frobenius, vanishes. It is a natural expectation (which we have not seen stated explicitly in the literature) that this is the case in general. More precisely, we conjecture the following.

Date: July 30, 2018 (preliminary version).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14G17, Secondary 14M17, 14M25, 14J45.

Conjecture 1.1.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety with trivial canonical class over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that the Hasse–Witt operation (1.1.1) is bijective. Then X has unobstructed deformations over the ring of Witt vectors $W(k)$ of k .*

The goal of this paper is to investigate the deformation theory of varieties with trivial canonical class for which (1.1.1) is bijective, with a special focus on deformations modulo p^2 .

1.2. Classical Serre–Tate theory. In the important case of abelian varieties, a complete description of the deformation problem was given by Serre and Tate [Kat81, Del81]. Let us summarize the main points of this description: let A be an ordinary abelian variety (or, somewhat more generally, an ordinary variety with trivial tangent bundle [MS87]) over a perfect field k of characteristic p , and let Def_A be its formal deformation space over $W(k)$. Then:

- Def_A has the structure of a formal group, in fact a formal torus, over $W(k)$,
- Def_A has a canonical lifting \tilde{F} of the Frobenius morphism (the p -th power map in the group law),
- $\text{Def}_{A \otimes \bar{k}}$ has canonical multiplicative coordinates (dependent on the choice of basis of the p -adic Tate module $T_p(A(\bar{k}))$), i.e. there is a preferred isomorphism

$$\text{Def}_{A \otimes \bar{k}} \simeq \text{Spf } W(k)[[q_{ij} - 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq \dim A]].$$

They are compatible with the Frobenius lifting \tilde{F} in the sense that $\tilde{F}^*(q_{ij}) = q_{ij}^p$.

- Setting $q_{ij} = 1$, we obtain a canonical lifting \tilde{A} over $W(k)$, which can be characterized as the unique lifting to which the Frobenius F_A lifts.
- The restriction map $\text{Pic } \tilde{A} \rightarrow \text{Pic } A$ admits a natural section. Consequently, the canonical lift \tilde{A} is projective, and hence algebraizable.

From our viewpoint, it is the lifting \tilde{F} which is the most fundamental; in fact, it determines the other features uniquely (cf. [Del81, Appendix]). It can be regarded as an analog of the affine manifold structure on the moduli of complex tori (see [Moc96]).

As an important step in his proof of the Tate conjecture for ordinary K3 surfaces [Nyg83], Nygaard has developed an appropriate version of Serre–Tate theory. His results were recently extended to Calabi–Yau threefolds by Ward in his thesis [War14]. In fact, the same methods can be used to yield an analog for higher-dimensional varieties, under some extra assumptions.

Before stating our result, we have to note that beyond the cases of abelian varieties and K3 surfaces, it is important to distinguish between several notions of ordinarity. In this paper, the following conditions on a smooth projective variety X of dimension d shall play a role:

Definition 1.2.1. Let X be a smooth projective variety with trivial canonical class over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$.

- (1) X is *1-ordinary* if the Hasse–Witt operation (1.1.1) is bijective,
- (2) X is *2-ordinary* if it is 1-ordinary and if the first higher Hasse–Witt operation

$$\text{HW}(1): H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) \longrightarrow H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1)$$

is bijective (see Definition 2.3.2).

- (3) X is *ordinary* (in the sense of Bloch–Kato) if $H^j(X, d\Omega_X^i) = 0$ for all i, j .

If X has no crystalline torsion, ordinarity is equivalent to the equality of the Hodge and Newton polygons for $H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k))$ [BK86, §7], and implies 2-ordinarity. More generally, m -ordinarity means that the first m segments of the Hodge and Newton polygon coincide. Note that a choice of trivialization of the canonical bundle ω_X yields an identification of

$H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1)$ with the dual of the tangent space $H^1(X, T_X)$ of the deformation functor Def_X .

Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1.2.2 (Corollary 10.2.2). *Let X be a smooth projective variety with trivial canonical class over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Assume that*

- (1) $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 = H^0(X, T_X)$,
- (2) X is 2-ordinary,
- (3) the crystalline cohomology groups $H_{\text{cris}}^*(X/W(k))$ are torsion-free for $* = d, d+1$,
- (4) X has unobstructed deformations over $W_m(k)$ for some $m \geq 1$.

Then a choice of a basis of the free \mathbf{Z}_p -module

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k))^{\varphi=p}, H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k))^{\varphi=1})$$

gives rise to an isomorphism

$$\text{Def}_{X/W_m(k)} \simeq \text{Spf } W_m(k)[[q_1 - 1, \dots, q_r - 1]], \quad r = \dim H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1),$$

and a natural lifting of Frobenius, defined by $\tilde{F}^*(q_i) = q_i^p$.

1.3. F -splittings and canonical liftings modulo p^2 . Theorem 1.2.2 is unsatisfying in several aspects. First, the assumption on smoothness of the deformation space is discouraging. It is also important to ask whether the 2-ordinarity assumption could be relaxed to 1-ordinarity. Our main new insight is that using a different approach one can build a version of the Serre–Tate theory for 1-ordinary varieties with trivial canonical class which describes deformations modulo p^2 (i.e. over Artinian $W_2(k)$ -algebras).

An important feature of our approach is the use of F -splittings, which are \mathcal{O}_X -linear splittings of the Frobenius map $F^*: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_X$. They were invented by Mehta and Ramanathan in the 1980s [MR85] and were put to good use in geometric representation theory in positive characteristic, but so far they have not appeared too prominently in arithmetic geometry (see, however, [MS87]). This is in contrast with the use of formal and p -divisible groups in the classical case, and it would be interesting to see a more direct link between F -splittings and the formal groups of Artin and Mazur.

Our key starting point is the following construction. Let X be a 1-ordinary variety with trivial canonical class. Then X admits a unique F -splitting σ . On the other hand, a construction due to the second author [Zda18] attaches to a pair (X, σ) of a k -scheme and an F -splitting a canonical lifting \tilde{X} of the Frobenius twist X' of X . The structure sheaf of \tilde{X} can be described as the following quotient of $W_2\mathcal{O}_X$:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = W_2(\mathcal{O}_X)/I_\sigma, \quad I_\sigma = \{(0, f) : \sigma(f) = 0\}.$$

It was known before [Ill96, 8.5] that an F -split scheme can be lifted modulo p^2 . What is new here is that there is a preferred, and quite explicit, such lifting. This is a strong indication that there should be a version of Serre–Tate theory for 1-ordinary varieties with trivial canonical class.

Already the existence of the preferred \tilde{X} has interesting consequences. First, by [DI87], for every $n < p$ there exists a canonical Hodge decomposition

$$H_{\text{dR}}^n(X/k) \simeq \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^j(X', \Omega_{X'/k}^i).$$

One wonders how much of classical Hodge theory can be translated to 1-ordinary varieties with trivial canonical class. Second, by construction the canonical lifting \tilde{X} is a closed subscheme of $W_2(X)$, and the Teichmüller lifting

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times, \quad f \mapsto \text{the class of } (f, 0) \text{ mod } I_\sigma$$

gives rise to a natural splitting of the restriction map $\text{Pic } \tilde{X} \rightarrow \text{Pic } X$.

From our perspective, the most important feature of the canonical lifting \tilde{X} is seen through its de Rham cohomology. Recall that the isomorphism

$$\rho: H_{\text{cris}}^d(X'/W_2(k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/W_2(k))$$

endows the right hand side with the crystalline Frobenius φ .

Theorem 1.3.1 (Theorem 5.0.1). *Let X be a 1-ordinary smooth projective variety with trivial canonical class, and let \tilde{X} be the canonical lifting of X' described above. Then the crystalline Frobenius*

$$\varphi: H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/W_2(k)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/W_2(k))$$

preserves the submodule $F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/W_2(k))$ (the image of $H^d(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{\bullet \geq 1}) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/W_2(k))$).

If $p > 2$ and certain technical assumptions are satisfied, \tilde{X} is the unique lifting \tilde{X} of X' with this property (Theorem 5.7.1). By results of Katz [Del81, Appendix], this implies that for abelian varieties and K3 surfaces, the lifting \tilde{X} agrees with the usual one, obtained by Serre–Tate theory (Corollary 5.7.2).

1.4. Modular Frobenius liftings. In order to describe the deformation theory of a 1-ordinary variety X with trivial canonical class, we construct the canonical lifting in families: if X/S is a family of such varieties over a k -scheme S , and if \tilde{S} is a flat lifting of S over $W_2(k)$, there is a canonical lifting \tilde{X}/\tilde{S} of the Frobenius pull-back family $X' = F_S^* X$. This is achieved using a construction of a sheaf of rings $W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$ on X , a relative version of $W_2\mathcal{O}_X$. As in the absolute case, the unique relative F -splitting σ of X/S gives rise to an ideal $I_\sigma \subseteq W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})/I_\sigma$.

Note that in the relative case (where S is not perfect), it is important to have in mind that it is X' , and not X , that admits a canonical lifting. For example, if S is smooth over k , then X'/S will always have vanishing Kodaira–Spencer map. Conversely, one can show that, if the fibers have no nonzero global vector fields, a family with vanishing Kodaira–Spencer descends under Frobenius (see Appendix B). Consequently, a family of 1-ordinary varieties with trivial canonical class and vanishing Kodaira–Spencer admits a canonical lifting to any lifting of the base modulo p^2 .

Consider now the deformation functor of X

$$\text{Def}_X: \text{Art}_{W_2(k)}(k) \longrightarrow \text{Sets}.$$

Suppose that $\text{Def}_X \simeq \tilde{S} = \text{Spf } R$ for a flat $W_2(k)$ -algebra R . Then the construction of the relative canonical lifting yields *by abstract nonsense* a lifting of Frobenius

$$F_{\tilde{S}}: \tilde{S} \longrightarrow \tilde{S}.$$

We have thus obtained the key ingredient of Serre–Tate theory for X . As in the absolute case, the key feature concerns the relationship of this Frobenius lifting with de Rham cohomology.

Theorem 1.4.1 (Theorem 7.1.1). *Let X_0 be a 1-ordinary smooth projective variety with trivial canonical class, with $d = \dim X_0$. Suppose that the deformation space $\tilde{S} = \text{Def}_{X_0/W_2(k)}$ is pro-representable and smooth. Then the construction outlined above endows \tilde{S} with a Frobenius lifting $F_{\tilde{S}}$. Let \tilde{X}/\tilde{S} be the universal family, and let*

$$H = H_{\text{cris}}^d(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) \simeq H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S})$$

be the associated Hodge F -crystal. Then the induced crystalline Frobenius map

$$\varphi(F_{\tilde{S}})F_{\tilde{S}}^*: H \longrightarrow H$$

preserves the submodule $F^1 H$.

If $p > 2$ and if certain technical assumptions are satisfied, then $F_{\mathcal{S}}$ is unique with this property (Corollary 7.3.2). Again, using Katz’s results, we can show that for abelian varieties and K3 surfaces, this lifting of Frobenius agrees with the one obtained by classical Serre–Tate theory (Corollary 7.3.3).

1.5. 2-ordinarity and canonical coordinates. The modular Frobenius lifting described above carries important arithmetic information related to the first higher Hasse–Witt operation and which is especially interesting if X is 1-ordinary but not 2-ordinary.

Recall that if S is a smooth scheme over k , and if $\tilde{F}: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ is a lifting of S over $W_2(k)$ together with Frobenius, one has the induced operator

$$(1.5.1) \quad \xi: F^*\Omega_S^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_S^1, \quad \xi(\omega) = \frac{1}{p}\tilde{F}^*(\tilde{\omega})$$

(here $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_{\tilde{S}}^1$ is a lifting of the local section $\omega \in \Omega_S^1$). Following Mochizuki [Moc96], we call \tilde{F} *ordinary* if the induced operator ξ is an isomorphism.

Applying this to $\tilde{S} = \text{Def}_X$ being the base of a local modular family, and specializing the operator ξ at the closed point, we obtain a map

$$(1.5.2) \quad \xi(0): H^1(X, T_X)^\vee \longrightarrow H^1(X, T_X)^\vee \quad \text{i.e.} \quad H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) \longrightarrow H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1).$$

Theorem 1.5.1 (Proposition 5.8.1 + Corollary 7.2.2). *Suppose that $p > 2$. Let X/k be a 1-ordinary variety with trivial canonical bundle as in Theorem 1.4.1. Suppose that the Hodge groups of the universal deformation over $W_2(k)$ are free and that its Hodge spectral sequence degenerates. Let $\tilde{X}/W_2(k)$ be its canonical lifting. Then the following three Frobenius-linear maps are equal:*

- (1) The map $\xi(0): H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1)$ (1.5.2).
- (2) The map $\beta: H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1)$ obtained by dividing the crystalline Frobenius on $F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/W_2(k))$ by p (this makes sense thanks to Theorem 1.3.1).
- (3) The first higher Hasse–Witt operation $\text{HW}(1): H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1)$.

Consequently, \tilde{F} is ordinary if and only if X is 2-ordinary.

A simple corollary of this is that every 1-ordinary variety as above can be (formally) deformed to a 2-ordinary one (Corollary 7.3.1).

The second big feature of the modular Frobenius lifting \tilde{F} is that if X is 2-ordinary, so that \tilde{F} is ordinary, we obtain an \mathbf{F}_p -local system

$$\mathcal{M} = \{x \in \Omega_S^1: \xi(x) = x\}$$

such that $\Omega_S^1 \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathcal{O}_S$. Consequently, Ω_S^1 becomes trivial on a canonical finite étale cover of S . This is the analog of the affine manifold structure over \mathbf{C} .

Theorem 1.5.2 (Proposition 8.3.1). *Suppose that $p > 2$. Let X be a 2-ordinary variety with trivial canonical bundle satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.5.1. Then for every choice of a basis $\{\omega_i\}$ of the \mathbf{F}_p -vector space*

$$M = \ker \left(\text{HW}(1) - \text{id}: H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) \longrightarrow H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) \right)$$

there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Def}_X = \text{Spf } W_2(k)[[q_1 - 1, \dots, q_r - 1]], \quad r = \dim_k H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} M$$

such that $\tilde{F}(q_i) = q_i^p$ and $d \log q_i = \omega_i$.

These coordinates are only unique up to jet order depending on p : if q'_i are another such coordinates, then

$$q'_i - q_i \in (q_1^p - 1, \dots, q_r^p - 1),$$

where the ideal on the right is independent of the choices.

1.6. Application to isotriviality questions. To illustrate the utility of the modular Frobenius lifting, we give an application to isotriviality of families of 2-ordinary varieties. Recall that by a result of Raynaud [MB85, Chapter XI, Theorem 5.1], every family of ordinary abelian varieties over a complete curve is isotrivial. The proof of this result makes use of the global geometry of the moduli space, notably the fact that the locus of non-ordinary abelian varieties is an ample divisor. For general varieties with trivial canonical class, we do not know of such results. Nevertheless, the Frobenius lifting can be used to show that no nontrivial families of 2-ordinary varieties exist over simply connected varieties with no nonzero global 1-forms.

Theorem 1.6.1 (see Proposition 9.0.3 and Proposition 9.1.1 for precise statements). *Let S/k be a smooth simply connected variety with $H^0(S, \Omega_S^1) = 0$, and let X/S be a smooth projective morphism whose fibers are 2-ordinary varieties with trivial canonical class satisfying certain assumptions. Then f is a constant family.*

1.7. Future directions. 1. Despite our attempts, we were unable to construct in an analogous way a canonical lifting modulo p^n for $n > 2$. On the other hand, we do not know of an example of an F -split variety which does not admit a lifting over $W(k)$. By the results of [ESB05], such an extension would give an affirmative answer to Conjecture 1.1.1. A good first step in this direction would be to check whether in the simplest case of an ordinary elliptic curve E , the Serre–Tate lifting E_n admits a closed immersion into $W_n(E)$ for $n > 2$.

2. The sheaves of relative Witt vectors $W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$ seem to be new and potentially of independent interest. It would be desirable to construct relative Witt vectors of length $n > 2$, and for singular schemes. Being unable to develop such a general theory, we decided to stick to the simplest case in this paper.

3. The construction of a canonical lifting modulo p^2 for a 1-ordinary variety with trivial canonical bundle can be extended to varieties with finite height. We discuss this construction, based on the ideas of Yobuko [Yob17], in Appendix A. We do not know how much of the theory developed in this paper can be extended to the case of finite height. For K3 surfaces, such a theory was developed by Nygaard and Ogus [NO85].

4. Our construction of the canonical lifting is reasonably explicit, and it seems that in practice one could write it down with equations in the case of hypersurfaces or complete intersections. This could be interesting already for elliptic curves, where the results should interact with those of Finotti [Fin10].

5. As we have already mentioned, for $p > d$ the canonical lifting gives by [DI87] a canonical Hodge decomposition of $H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k)$. How can we characterize this decomposition?

6. Lastly, we do not know if there is a logarithmic variant of our construction, which would allow one to extend the Frobenius lifting to some part of the boundary of the moduli space, and to study some arithmetic aspects of the toric degenerations studied by Gross and Siebert [GS06].

1.8. Notation and conventions. We fix a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. We tend to denote schemes over $W_2(k)$ by $\tilde{X}, \tilde{S}, \dots$ and by X, S, \dots their reductions modulo p . If X/S is a morphism of k -schemes, we denote by X' the pullback of X along the absolute Frobenius F_S of S , and by $F_{X/S}: X \rightarrow X'$ the relative Frobenius.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Bhargav Bhatt, Adrian Langer, and Arthur Ogus for helpful discussions.

Part of this work was conducted during the first author’s stay at the MPIM and the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics in Bonn.

The first author was supported by NCN SONATA grant number 2017/26/D/ST1/00913. The second author’s work was supported by Zsolt Patakfalvi’s Swiss National Science Foundation Grant No. 200021/169639.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Ordinary varieties with trivial canonical class	7
3. Relative Witt vectors	13
4. F -splittings and canonical liftings mod p^2	16
5. Frobenius and the Hodge filtration (I)	18
6. Modular Frobenius liftings	23
7. Frobenius and the Hodge filtration (II)	25
8. Canonical coordinates	27
9. Applications to isotriviality	29
10. Serre–Tate theory a’la Nygaard	32
Appendix A. Finite height	35
Appendix B. Families with vanishing Kodaira–Spencer	38
References	38

2. ORDINARY VARIETIES WITH TRIVIAL CANONICAL CLASS

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. We call a scheme X/k a *variety with trivial canonical class* if X is smooth, projective, geometrically connected, and if the canonical bundle $\omega_X = \det \Omega_X^1$ is trivial. We do not implicitly fix however a particular trivialization of ω_X , unless stated otherwise.

2.1. Crystalline and de Rham cohomology. The most interesting cohomological invariant of a variety with trivial canonical class X is its middle crystalline cohomology group

$$H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k)), \quad d = \dim X$$

as well as the corresponding de Rham cohomology group $H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k)$. These are related by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k)) \otimes_{W(k)} k \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(H_{\text{cris}}^{d+1}(X/W(k)), k) \rightarrow 0.$$

Assumption (NCT). *We suppose that the crystalline cohomology groups $H_{\text{cris}}^*(X/W(k))$ are free $W(k)$ -modules for $* = d, d + 1$.*

The de Rham cohomology groups $H_{\text{dR}}^*(X/k)$ are by definition the hypercohomology groups of the de Rham complex $\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$, and as such they are abutments of the two spectral sequences: the Hodge spectral sequence

$$(2.1.1) \quad E_1^{ij} = H^j(X, \Omega_{X/k}^i) \quad \Rightarrow \quad H_{\text{dR}}^{i+j}(X/k)$$

and the conjugate spectral sequence [Kat72, §2]

$$(2.1.2) \quad E_2^{ij} = H^i(X, \mathcal{H}^j(\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet)) \quad \Rightarrow \quad H_{\text{dR}}^{i+j}(X/k).$$

Further, the Cartier isomorphism $C: \mathcal{H}^j(F_{X/k,*} \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet) \simeq \Omega_{X'/k}^j$ allows one to identify the E_2^{ij} term of the conjugate spectral sequence with $H^i(X', \Omega_{X'/k}^j)$. The abutment filtration

on $H_{\text{dR}}^*(X/k)$ induced by the Hodge resp. conjugate spectral sequence is called the Hodge resp. conjugate filtration and denoted F^i resp. F_i . Explicitly,

$$F^i = \text{Im} \left(H^d(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet \geq i}) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k) \right), \quad F_i = \text{Im} \left(H^d(X, \tau_{\leq i} \Omega_X^\bullet) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k) \right).$$

The first spectral sequence degenerates if and only if the second one does, and in this case we have

$$(2.1.3) \quad F^i/F^{i+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{d-i}(X, \Omega_X^i) \xrightarrow{C^{-1}} F_i/F_{i-1}.$$

Assumption (DEG). *We assume that the spectral sequences (2.1.1) and (2.1.2) degenerate.*

By the results of [DI87], this is the case if $p > \dim X$ and X can be lifted to $W_2(k)$, in particular if $p > \dim X$ and X is 1-ordinary (see Corollary 4.1.3).

The crystalline cohomology groups are endowed with the crystalline Frobenius

$$\varphi: H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k)),$$

which is semi-linear with respect to the Frobenius on $W(k)$ and which is injective modulo torsion. Its main invariants are the *Hodge numbers* h^0, \dots, h^d , determined by

$$H = \bigoplus H_i, \quad \varphi(H) = \bigoplus p^i H_i, \quad \text{rank } H_i = h^i,$$

where $H = H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k))/\text{torsion}$, and the *Newton slopes* $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m$, $m = \text{rank } H$, are the p -adic valuations of the eigenvalues of some matrix representing φ on $H \otimes_{W(k)} K$. They are conveniently encoded by means of the *Hodge polygon*, which is the graph of the piecewise linear function $h: [0, m] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $h(0) = 0$, with slope i on $[h^0 + \dots + h^{i-1}, h^0 + \dots + h^i]$, and the *Newton polygon*, which is the graph of the piecewise linear function $\lambda: [0, m] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda(0) = 0$, with slope λ_i on $[i-1, i]$. The Mazur–Ogus theorem [BO78, 8.39] asserts that under our assumptions $h^i = \dim_k H^{d-i}(X, \Omega_X^i)$, that the Newton polygon lies above the Hodge polygon, and that the endpoints of the two polygons coincide.

In the rest of this section, with the exception of §2.5, we assume that X is a variety with trivial canonical class of dimension d satisfying (NCT) and (DEG).

2.2. The Hasse–Witt operation. The *Hasse–Witt operations* are the maps

$$\text{HW}(0) = F_X^*: H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Proposition 2.2.1. *Let X be a normal proper scheme over k . Consider the following conditions:*

(i) *X is F -split, i.e. the exact sequence*

$$(2.2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow F_{X/k,*} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow B\Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

is split.

(ii) *$H^i(X, B\Omega_X^1) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.*

(iii) *The Hasse–Witt operations $\text{HW}(0)$ on $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ are bijective for all i .*

(iv) *The Hasse–Witt operation $\text{HW}(0)$ on $H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is bijective, where $d = \dim X$.*

Then (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv). If X is a variety with trivial canonical class, then all four conditions are equivalent, and a splitting of (2.2.1) is unique if it exists.

Proof. The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) follow by taking the cohomology exact sequence of (2.2.1). For (iv) \Rightarrow (i), see [MR85, Proposition 9]. For the uniqueness, note first splittings of (2.2.1) are a torsor under $\text{Hom}(B\Omega_X^1, \mathcal{O}_{X'}) \simeq H^0(X', B\Omega_X^d)$ [vdGK03, §3]. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X', B\Omega_X^d) \longrightarrow H^0(X', F_* \Omega_X^d) \xrightarrow{C} H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}^d)$$

where the map C is dual to $F: H^d(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}) \rightarrow H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and hence bijective. \square

Definition 2.2.2. We call a variety with trivial canonical class X *1-ordinary* if the equivalent conditions of Proposition 2.2.1 hold.

2.3. Higher Hasse–Witt operations. These are Frobenius-linear morphisms

$$(2.3.1) \quad \text{HW}(i): H^{d-i}(X, \Omega_X^i) \longrightarrow H^{d-i}(X, \Omega_X^i), \quad i = 0, \dots, d$$

defined by Katz [Kat72, 2.3.4.2]. The morphism $\text{HW}(0)$ is the Hasse–Witt operation, and for $i > 0$, $\text{HW}(i)$ is only defined if the following composition is bijective

$$h(i): F_{i-1} \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k)/F^i.$$

In this case, $F_i \cap F^i$ projects isomorphically onto F_i/F_{i-1} . The mapping $\text{HW}(i)$ is then the composition

$$H^{d-i}(X, \Omega_X^i) = F_i/F_{i-1} \simeq F_i \cap F^i \longrightarrow F^i/F^{i+1} = H^{d-i}(X, \Omega_X^i).$$

Moreover, $h(i+1)$ is bijective if and only if $\text{HW}(i)$ is bijective.

Proposition 2.3.1. *Let $1 \leq m \leq d+1$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The higher Hasse–Witt operations $\text{HW}(i)$ are defined and bijective for $i < m$.*
- (2) *$F_i \oplus F^{i+1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k)$ for $i < m$.*
- (3) *The first m segments of the Newton and Hodge polygons of $H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k))$ coincide.*
- (4) *There exists a φ -stable decomposition*

$$H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k)) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{i < m} H_i \right) \oplus H_{\geq m}$$

where the H_i are free $W(k)$ -modules of ranks $h^i = \dim_k H^{d-i}(X, \Omega_X^i)$, where $\varphi|_{H_i}$ has matrix $p^i \cdot \text{Id}$ in some basis of H_i , and where $\varphi(H_{\geq m}) \subseteq p^m H_{\geq m}$.

Moreover, these conditions hold for all m if X is ordinary in degree d in the sense of [IR83, Definition 4.12, p. 208].

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) is clear by the definition of $\text{HW}(i)$ and $h(i)$. The equivalence of (2) and (3) is [Del81, Prop. 1.3.2], and the equivalence of (3) and (4) follows from [Kat79, Theorem 1.6.1]. Finally, the last assertion is [IR83, 4.12.1 p. 208] (see also [BK86, Proposition 7.3]). \square

Definition 2.3.2. We call X *m -ordinary* if the equivalent conditions of Proposition 2.3.1 hold.

The following result will be used in §10.

Lemma 2.3.3. *If X is 2-ordinary, we have $H^i(X, B\Omega_X^2) = 0$ for $i = d-1, d$.*

Proof. The short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow B\Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow Z\Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

and the assumption $H^d(X, \Omega_X^1) = 0$ show together that $H^d(X, Z\Omega_X^1) = 0$, and the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Z\Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow B\Omega_X^2 \longrightarrow 0$$

shows that $H^d(X, B\Omega_X^2) = 0$. Finally, the exact triangle

$$\tau_{\leq 1} \Omega_X^\bullet \oplus \Omega_X^{\bullet \geq 2} \longrightarrow \Omega_X^\bullet \longrightarrow B\Omega_X^2[-1] \xrightarrow{+1}$$

gives an exact sequence

$$(F_1 \oplus F^2) H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k) \rightarrow H^{d-1}(X, B\Omega_X^2) \rightarrow (F_1 \oplus F^2) H_{\text{dR}}^{d+1}(X/k) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{d+1}(X/k)$$

where the first map is an isomorphism by 2-ordinarity. It remains to show that the last map above is injective, which follows from $F_1 H_{\text{dR}}^{d+1}(X/k) = H^d(X, \tau_{\leq 1} \Omega_X^\bullet) = 0$. Indeed, this group sits inside an exact sequence

$$0 = H^d(X, Z\Omega_X^1) \longrightarrow H^d(X, \tau_{\leq 1} \Omega_X^\bullet) \longrightarrow H^{d+1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0. \quad \square$$

2.4. Artin–Mazur formal groups. Suppose that $H^{d-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. In [AM77], Artin and Mazur consider the functor

$$\Phi_X: \text{Art}_k \rightarrow \text{Set}, \quad \Phi_X(A) = \ker \left(H_{\text{ét}}^d(X_A, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^d(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \right) = H^d(X_A, 1 + \mathfrak{m}_A \mathcal{O}_{X_A}).$$

and show that it is a formal Lie group. The group Φ_X is called the *Artin–Mazur formal group* of X . Its tangent space is $H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, and its (covariant) Dieudonné module is canonically identified with $H^d(X, W\mathcal{O}_X)$.

Proposition 2.4.1. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) X is 1-ordinary.
- (2) $F: H^d(X, W\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^d(X, W\mathcal{O}_X)$ is bijective.
- (3) The height of the formal group Φ_X equals one.

Moreover, in this case there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\Phi_X \simeq H^d(X, W\mathcal{O}_X)^{F=1} \otimes \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$$

inducing the identity $H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ on tangent spaces.

Proof. This follows from [vdGK03, Theorem 2.1]. The last assertion is obtained by applying the equivalence between suitable Dieudonné modules and formal groups to the isomorphism

$$H^d(X, W\mathcal{O}_X)^{F=1} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^d(X, W\mathcal{O}_X). \quad \square$$

Although this paper we focus on 1-ordinary varieties, in Appendix A we extend some of our results to varieties whose Artin–Mazur formal group Φ^d has finite height. This extension is inspired by recent results of Yobuko [Yob17].

2.5. Ordinarity in families. In characteristic zero, deformations of a variety with trivial canonical class have trivial canonical class. This is no longer the case in positive characteristic, for example a supersingular Enriques surface in characteristic two can be deformed to a classical one. Fortunately for us, this is true for families of 1-ordinarity varieties. In fact, such families admit a unique relative F -splitting, and a trivialization of the canonical bundle which is canonical up to a discrete choice (Corollary 2.5.5 below). This will be crucial later on for the construction of the canonical lifting in families (Corollary 4.1.3).

Proposition 2.5.1. *Let S be the spectrum of a noetherian local \mathbf{F}_p -algebra with closed point s , and let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a proper and flat morphism. Suppose that the maps*

$$(2.5.1) \quad F_{X_s}^*: H^i(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s}) \longrightarrow H^i(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s})$$

are bijective for $i \geq 0$. Then the higher direct images $R^i f_ \mathcal{O}_X$ are locally free, with formation commuting with base change, for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. The proof proceeds by descending induction on i . For $i > \dim X_s$, the assertion is trivial. For the induction step, suppose that $R^{i+1} f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ has the required property. By cohomology and base change [Har77, Theorem 12.11], it follows that the formation of $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ commutes with base change. Equivalently, the restriction map

$$(R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \kappa(s) \longrightarrow H^i(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s})$$

is an isomorphism. Consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & H^i(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s}) \\ F \downarrow & & \downarrow F \\ R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & H^i(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s}). \end{array}$$

By assumption, the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism. By the base change property of $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ and Nakayama's lemma, it follows that the morphism

$$F_{X/S}^*: R^i f'_* \mathcal{O}_{X'} \simeq F_S^* R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X$$

is an isomorphism. By Lemma 2.5.2 below, $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is then locally free, as desired. \square

Lemma 2.5.2. *Let R be a noetherian local ring of characteristic $p > 0$, and let M be a finitely generated R -module. Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $F_R^* M \simeq M$, where F_R is the Frobenius of R . Then M is free.*

Proof. Since being locally free is local for the flat topology and the completion $R \rightarrow \hat{R}$ is faithfully flat (because R is noetherian), we can assume that R is complete. It is enough to prove that $M \otimes R/\mathfrak{m}^i$ is a free R/\mathfrak{m}^i -module for all i , where $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$ is the maximal ideal. We can therefore assume that R is local Artinian. Choose a presentation

$$R^m \xrightarrow{A} R^n \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with n minimal. Then all the entries of A are in \mathfrak{m} . Since \mathfrak{m} is nilpotent, there exists an integer k such that $F_R^k(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$. Applying $(F_R^k)^*$ to the above presentation yields a presentation of $(F_R^k)^* M$

$$R^m \xrightarrow{F_R^k(A)=0} R^n \longrightarrow (F_R^k)^* M \longrightarrow 0$$

where $F_R^k(A)$ is the zero matrix. Thus

$$M \simeq F_R^* M \simeq \dots \simeq (F_R^k)^* M \simeq R^n$$

is free. \square

Remarks 2.5.3. (1) We do not know whether the assertion of Proposition 2.5.1 still holds if S is of mixed characteristic, even for $S = \text{Spec } W_2(k)$.

(2) If X_s is F -split, then the maps (2.5.1) are bijective for all $i \geq 0$ by [AWZ17, Lemma 2.5.5(a)].

(3) The proof of Proposition 2.5.1 shows that if the maps (2.5.1) are bijective for $i \geq r$ for some integer r , then the sheaves $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ are locally free and commute with base change for all $i \geq r$.

Corollary 2.5.4. *In the situation of Proposition 2.5.1, suppose that f is smooth. Then the sheaves $R^i f_* \omega_{X/S}$ are locally free, with formation commuting with base change, for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $d = \dim X_s$. Grothendieck duality produces a quasi-isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_* \omega_{X/S} &= Rf_* R \mathcal{H}om_X(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_{X/S}) \\ &= Rf_* R \mathcal{H}om_X(\mathcal{O}_X, f^! \mathcal{O}_S[-d]) \simeq R \mathcal{H}om_S(Rf_* \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_S)[-d]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the cohomology sheaves $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ of $Rf_* \mathcal{O}_X$ are locally free by Proposition 2.5.1, we conclude that

$$R^i f_* \omega_{X/S} \simeq \mathcal{H}om_S(R^{d-i} f_* \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_S). \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.5.5. *Let S be a noetherian \mathbf{F}_p -scheme, and let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth and proper morphism. Suppose that for every closed $s \in S$, the geometric fiber $X_{\bar{s}}$ is a 1-ordinary variety with trivial canonical class. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (a) *There exists a unique relative F -splitting $\sigma: F_{X/S,*}\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}$.*
- (b) *There exists a canonical μ_{p-1} -torsor $T \rightarrow S$ and a canonical trivialization $\omega_{X_T/T} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_T}$.*

Proof. We shall first prove that locally on S , there exists an trivialization $\omega_{X/S} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$. By Corollary 2.5.4, $f_*\omega_{X/S}$ is locally free, with formation commuting with base change. It is therefore a line bundle. Consider the adjunction map

$$\alpha: f^*f_*\omega_{X/S} \longrightarrow \omega_{X/S}.$$

Its restriction to every fiber X_s is an isomorphism by assumption. Since α is a morphism between line bundles, it is an isomorphism.

For (a), consider the relative evaluation map

$$f'_* \mathcal{H}om(F_{X/S,*}\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_{X'}) \longrightarrow f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S.$$

It is enough to show that this map is an isomorphism. Grothendieck duality for the finite flat morphism $F_{X/S}$ yields an identification

$$f'_* \mathcal{H}om(F_{X/S,*}\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_{X'}) \simeq f'_*F_{X/S,*}\omega_{X/S}^{1-p} = f_*\omega_{X/S}^{1-p}.$$

By the first paragraph and Corollary 2.5.4, $f_*\omega_{X/S}^{1-p}$ is a line bundle whose formation commutes with base change. The composite morphism

$$f_*\omega_{X/S}^{1-p} \simeq f'_* \mathcal{H}om(F_{X/S,*}\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_{X'}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$$

is a morphism of line bundles which is an isomorphism at closed points, and hence an isomorphism.

For assertion (b), we note that the proof of (a) furnishes a canonical trivialization τ of $\omega_{X/S}^{1-p}$. Trivializations of $\omega_{X/S}$ whose $(1-p)$ -th power equals τ form the desired μ_{p-1} -torsor on S . \square

2.6. Hodge F -crystals. Let $\tilde{S} = \mathrm{Spf} W_2(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_r]]$. The following is a version of the definition in [Del81, 1.1.1, 1.1.3, 1.3.5] adapted to the case ‘mod p^2 .’ Since $p^2 = 0$, our definition works well only if one is interested in the first two levels of the Hodge filtration.

Definition 2.6.1. A *Hodge F -crystal* $H = (H, \nabla, F^\bullet, \varphi)$ on \tilde{S} consists of:

- (1) a finitely generated free $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}$ -module H ,
- (2) a nilpotent integrable connection $\nabla: H \rightarrow H \otimes \Omega_{\tilde{S}}^1$,
- (3) a decreasing filtration F^i of H by direct summands,
- (4) for every lifting of Frobenius $F_{\tilde{S}}$ on S , an $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}$ -linear map

$$\varphi(F_{\tilde{S}}): F_{\tilde{S}}^*H \longrightarrow H$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $\varphi(F_{\tilde{S}})$ is horizontal,
- (2) F^i satisfies *Griffiths transversality*: $\nabla F^i \subseteq F^{i-1} \otimes \Omega_{\tilde{S}}^1$,
- (3) $F^i \otimes k$ coincides with the image of $(\varphi(F_{\tilde{S}})F_{\tilde{S}}^*)^{-1}(p^i H)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$,
- (4) the maps $\varphi(F_{\tilde{S}})$ for different $F_{\tilde{S}}$ satisfy the *change of Frobenius formula* [Del81, 1.1.3.4]:

$$(2.6.1) \quad \varphi(F_{\tilde{S}})F_{\tilde{S}}^*x = \varphi(F'_{\tilde{S}})(F'_{\tilde{S}})^*x + p \sum_{i=1}^r (F_{\tilde{S}}^*(t_i) - (F'_{\tilde{S}})^*(t_i))\varphi(F'_{\tilde{S}})(F'_{\tilde{S}})^*\left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}}x\right).$$

If \tilde{X}/\tilde{S} is smooth and projective satisfying (NCT) and (DEG) and such that the Hodge groups are free, then $H = H_{\text{dR}}^n(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S})$ endowed with the Gauss–Manin connection, the Hodge filtration, and the crystalline Frobenius is a Hodge F -crystal over \tilde{S} . By the basic divisibility estimates [Maz73], one has $\varphi(F_{\tilde{S}})F_{\tilde{S}}^*(F^i) \subseteq p^i H$ for $i < p$.

3. RELATIVE WITT VECTORS

Let S be a scheme over \mathbf{F}_p , and let \tilde{S} be a lifting of S over $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$. Consider a smooth scheme X over S . We let $F_{X/S}: X \rightarrow X'$ denote the relative Frobenius of X/S . If S is perfect, then using the isomorphism $F_{\tilde{S}}^*: \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ one can consider the length two Witt vectors $W_2\mathcal{O}_X$ as a square-zero extension

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{X/S,*}\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{V} W_2\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow 0$$

of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ by $F_{X/S,*}\mathcal{O}_X$. The first goal of this section is to construct a natural extension of the above type

$$(3.0.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow F_{X/S,*}\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{V} W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow 0$$

for a general \tilde{S} , lying above the given lifting

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow 0.$$

The second goal concerns comparison with zeroth crystalline cohomology. Let \tilde{X} be a lifting of X over \tilde{S} . We have a canonical isomorphism [BO78, §7]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^q(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) \simeq R^q u_* \mathcal{O}_{X/\tilde{S}}$$

where $u: (X/\tilde{S})_{\text{cris}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$ is the canonical projection, and $\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^q(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S})$ is the q -th cohomology sheaf of the de Rham complex of \tilde{X}/\tilde{S} . If, in addition, S is perfect, one has Katz’s isomorphism [IR83, III 1.4]

$$R^q u_* \mathcal{O}_{X/\tilde{S}} \simeq W_2\Omega_X^q$$

where $W_2\Omega_X^q$ is the de Rham–Witt complex of X . Setting $q = 0$, we obtain an identification

$$W_2\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^{d=0} = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \mid df = 0 \in \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^1\}.$$

The right hand side makes sense if S is not perfect, so one could try and define

$$W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^{d=0} = u_* \mathcal{O}_{X/\tilde{S}}$$

which by the last equality is manifestly independent of the choice of the lifting \tilde{X} . One quickly realizes however that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^{d=0}$ is not quite of the required type, and that one in fact gets an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^{d=0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X''} \longrightarrow 0$$

with a double Frobenius twist on the right hand side (compare [Ols07, p. 103]). For example, if $\tilde{X} = \mathbf{A}_{\tilde{S}}^1$ with coordinate t , then one obtains

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S[x^p] \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}[x^{p^2}, px^{p^i}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S[x^{p^2}] \longrightarrow 0.$$

We shall prove in §3.2 that $W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$ is a canonical Frobenius untwist of $u_* \mathcal{O}_{X/\tilde{S}}$, i.e. that $u_* \mathcal{O}_{X/\tilde{S}} \simeq W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$.

Remark 3.0.1. By the same method as given below, one could define longer Witt vectors relative to a lifting of S modulo p^n . For the sake of brevity, we decided to include only the case relevant to our applications.

3.1. Construction. Let X be a smooth scheme over S as before, and let \tilde{X} be a lifting over \tilde{S} . We set

$$(3.1.1) \quad W_{\tilde{X}} = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \mid f \bmod p \in \mathcal{O}_{X'}\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}.$$

In other words, $W_{\tilde{X}}$ is the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{\tilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X'} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow F_{X/S}^* \\ \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X, \end{array}$$

so that we have a diagram with exact rows (morphism of square-zero extensions)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & W_{\tilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow F_{X/S}^* \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

In particular, $W_{\tilde{X}}$ is an extension of the desired type (3.0.1). Our goal is to get rid of its apparent dependence on the lifting \tilde{X} .

We note that $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ lies in $W_{\tilde{X}}$ if and only if $df \in \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^1$ is divisible by p , so that we have a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{\tilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X/S}^1 \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^1. \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.1.1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map between smooth schemes over S , let \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} be liftings of X and Y over \tilde{S} , and let $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ be two liftings of f . Then the induced maps $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2: W_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow W_{\tilde{Y}}$ are equal.*

In particular, if $\alpha: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is an automorphism of the lifting \tilde{X} (i.e. an isomorphism of schemes over \tilde{S} reducing to the identity on X), then the induced map $\alpha: W_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow W_{\tilde{X}}$ is the identity.

Proof. We can write $\tilde{f}_2(x) = \tilde{f}_1(x) + p \cdot \psi(x \bmod p)$ for a derivation $\psi: \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X$. Since $F_{Y/S}$ induces the zero map $F_{Y/S}^* \Omega_{Y'/S}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{Y/S}^1$, the derivation ψ annihilates the image of $\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$. Thus if $x \in W_{\tilde{Y}}$, i.e. $x \bmod p \in \mathcal{O}_{Y'}$, then

$$\tilde{f}_2(x) = \tilde{f}_1(x) + p \cdot \psi(x \bmod p) = \tilde{f}_1(x). \quad \square$$

The above lemma allows us to assemble the $W_{\tilde{X}}$ into a global thickening $W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$ in the following way. Choose an open cover $X = \bigcup U_i$ and liftings \tilde{U}_i over \tilde{S} . Let $\{U_{ijk}\}_k$ be an affine open cover of $U_i \cap U_j$. Since U_{ijk} are affine, there exists an isomorphism of liftings

$$\alpha_{ijk}: \tilde{U}_i|_{U_{ijk}} \longrightarrow \tilde{U}_j|_{U_{ijk}}.$$

Consider the schemes $W_{\tilde{U}_i}$; over U_{ijk} , the above maps induce isomorphisms

$$\alpha_{ijk}: W_{\tilde{U}_i}|_{U_{ijk}} \longrightarrow W_{\tilde{U}_j}|_{U_{ijk}}.$$

which are independent of α_{ijk} by Lemma 3.1.1. Another application of Lemma 3.1.1 implies that these isomorphisms necessarily satisfy the cocycle condition, and therefore they can be glued to obtain a global thickening $W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$.

It is easy to see that this construction does not depend on the choice of the open covering and the liftings \tilde{U}_i . We have thus proved:

Proposition 3.1.2. *Let X be a smooth scheme over S . The construction outlined above yields a thickening*

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{X/S,*} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow 0$$

over

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow 0.$$

If \tilde{X} is a lifting of X over \tilde{S} , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) \simeq W_{\tilde{X}} = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \mid f \bmod p \in \mathcal{O}_{X'}\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}.$$

Moreover, the construction of $W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$ is functorial in the sense that if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of smooth schemes over S , then we get a canonical map $f^*: W_2(\mathcal{O}_Y/\tilde{S}) \rightarrow W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$ fitting in the diagram of sheaves on the space $Y = Y'$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F_{Y/S,*} \mathcal{O}_Y & \longrightarrow & W_2(\mathcal{O}_Y/\tilde{S}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Y'} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & f_* F_{X/S,*} \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & f_* W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) & \longrightarrow & f_* \mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

3.2. Comparison with zeroth crystalline cohomology. Let X be a smooth scheme over S . We denote by

$$u_*: (X/\tilde{S})_{\text{cris}} \longrightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$$

the natural projection from the crystalline site of X relative to \tilde{S} to the Zariski site of X . This functor takes an open $U \subseteq X$ to the trivial PD-thickening (U, U) . If \tilde{X} is a lifting of X over \tilde{S} , there is a canonical isomorphism [BO78, §7]

$$Ru_* \mathcal{O}_{X/\tilde{S}} \simeq \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^0(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S})$ the kernel of the map $d: \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^1$. The restriction map $\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^0(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^0(X/S) = \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ has image $\mathcal{O}_{X''}$ and the following sequence is exact

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{X'/S,*} \mathcal{O}_{X'} \xrightarrow{\text{"p"}} \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^0(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X''} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 3.2.1. *There exists a unique morphism of sheaves of rings*

$$\lambda: W_2(\mathcal{O}_{X'}/\tilde{S}) \longrightarrow u_* \mathcal{O}_{X/\tilde{S}}$$

compatible with restriction to open subsets, and such that whenever $\tilde{F}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}'$ is a lifting of $F_{X/S}: X \rightarrow X'$, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}'} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}^*} & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ W_{\tilde{X}'} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}^*} & \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^0(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) \\ \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow \wr \\ W_2(\mathcal{O}_{X'}/\tilde{S}) & \xrightarrow[\lambda]{\sim} & u_* \mathcal{O}_{X/\tilde{S}} \end{array}$$

is commutative. This map is an isomorphism.

Proof. We define the morphism λ locally by the diagram above, and then prove this is independent of the choices. To this end, we first take two liftings $\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}'$ of the Frobenius morphism $F_{X/S}$. By Lemma 3.1.1 the morphisms $\tilde{F}_1^*, \tilde{F}_2^*: W_{\tilde{X}'} \rightarrow W_{\tilde{X}}$ coincide.

We check that they map $W_{\tilde{X}'}$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^0(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) \subset W_{\tilde{X}'}$: if $f \in W_{\tilde{X}'}$, then $df = p \cdot \omega$ for some $\omega \in \Omega_{X'/\tilde{S}}^1$, therefore

$$d(\tilde{F}_i^*(f)) = \tilde{F}_i^*(df) = p \cdot F_{X'/S}^*(\omega) = 0.$$

Finally, since the following diagram is obviously commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F_{X'/S,*}\mathcal{O}_{X'} & \longrightarrow & W_{\tilde{X}'} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X''} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \tilde{F}_i^* & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_{X'/S,*}\mathcal{O}_{X'} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^0(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X''} \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

we conclude that $W_{\tilde{X}'} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^0(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S})$ is an isomorphism. \square

Corollary 3.2.2. *If S is perfect, then $W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) \simeq W_2\mathcal{O}_X$.*

4. F -SPLITTINGS AND CANONICAL LIFTINGS MOD p^2

We come to the key construction in this paper.

4.1. Construction. Let S be an \mathbf{F}_p -scheme, and let \tilde{S} be a flat lifting of S over $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$. Let (X, σ) be a pair consisting of an S -scheme X and a relative F -splitting

$$\sigma: F_{X/S,*}\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}.$$

Such pairs form a category \mathbf{FSplit}_S , cf. [AWZ17, §2.5]. Consider the ring of relative Witt vectors $W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$, fitting in an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{X/S,*}\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{V} W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The subsheaf

$$I_\sigma = V(\ker(\sigma)) \subseteq W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}).$$

is an ideal in $W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$ — this is because $V(F_{X/S,*}\mathcal{O}_X)$ is an ideal of square zero inside $W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$, and $\ker(\sigma) \subseteq F_{X/S,*}\mathcal{O}_X$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -submodule. We define the sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}(\sigma)}$ as the quotient

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}(\sigma)} = W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})/I_\sigma.$$

By construction, it fits inside a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F_{X/S,*}\mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{V} & W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X'} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}(\sigma)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

lying over

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow 0.$$

We deduce the following.

Proposition 4.1.1. *The ringed space $\tilde{X}(\sigma) = (X, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}(\sigma)})$ is a scheme, and the surjection $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}(\sigma)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ exhibits $\tilde{X}(\sigma)$ as a flat lifting of X' over \tilde{S} . The construction defines a functor*

$$(X, \sigma) \mapsto \tilde{X}(\sigma): \mathbf{FSplit}_S \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sch}_{\tilde{S}}$$

together with a natural isomorphism between the two compositions in the square below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{FSplit}_S & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Sch}_{\tilde{S}} \\ \text{forget} \downarrow & & \downarrow -\times_{\tilde{S}} S \\ \mathbf{Sch}_S & \xrightarrow{F_S^*} & \mathbf{Sch}_S, \end{array}$$

i.e. $\tilde{X}(\sigma) \times_{\tilde{S}} S \simeq X'$.

Remark 4.1.2. One can check that $\mathrm{Spec} W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$ represents the following functor on the category of square-zero thickenings of X' over \tilde{S} and closed immersions:

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'} \rightarrow 0 \quad \mapsto \quad \{\text{surjections } \alpha: F_{X/S,*}\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow M \mid \alpha(1) = p\}.$$

In particular F -splittings of X/S are in natural bijection with \tilde{S} -liftings of X' endowed with a closed immersion to $\mathrm{Spec} W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$ over \tilde{S} . This should be contrasted with the fact that (for S perfect) maps from $\mathrm{Spec} W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$ control Frobenius liftings.

Combining this with Corollary 2.5.5(a), we obtain:

Corollary 4.1.3. *The canonical lifting functor from Proposition 4.1.1 produces a functor $X \mapsto \tilde{X}$ from smooth X/S whose geometric fibers are 1-ordinary varieties with trivial canonical class to smooth \tilde{X}/\tilde{S} with the same property, together with a functorial isomorphism $\tilde{X} \times_{\tilde{S}} S \simeq X'$.*

4.2. First properties. As a consequence of functoriality of $\tilde{X}(\sigma)$, we obtain the following.

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let S and \tilde{S} be as in §4.1, and let (X, σ) be an F -split scheme over S . Let $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subscheme which is compatible with σ in the sense that $\sigma(F_{X/S,*}I_Y) \subseteq I'_Y$. Then σ induces an F -splitting σ_Y on Y relative to S , and $\tilde{Y}(\sigma_Y)$ is a closed subscheme of $\tilde{X}(\sigma)$ lifting $Y' \subseteq X'$.*

We shall not use the above result, as the unique F -splitting on a 1-ordinary variety with trivial canonical class cannot be compatible with a non-empty proper subvariety. In contrast, the following will be quite useful.

Lemma 4.2.2. *Let S be a perfect scheme over \mathbf{F}_p and let $\tilde{S} = W_2(S)$. Let (X, σ) be an F -split scheme over S . Then the composition of the Teichmüller lift*

$$[-]: \mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow W_2(\mathcal{O}_X) = W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$$

with the restriction map $W_2(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}(\sigma)}$ yields multiplicative sections of the restriction maps

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}(\sigma)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}(\sigma)}^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}^\times.$$

Consequently, for every $r \geq 0$, the restriction map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^*(\tilde{X}(\sigma), \mathbf{G}_m) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^*(X', \mathbf{G}_m)$$

admits a natural section. In particular, line bundles and log structures on X' have canonical liftings to $\tilde{X}(\sigma)$.

Lemma 4.2.3. *Let S be a noetherian \mathbf{F}_p -scheme and let \tilde{S} be a flat lifting of S over $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$. Let (X, σ) be an F -split scheme over S such that X is proper over S . Then the restriction maps*

$$i^*: H^*(X, W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})) \longrightarrow H^*(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$$

are isomorphisms.

Proof. The restriction map $i^*: W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ fits into a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow B\Omega_{X/S}^1 \longrightarrow W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Proposition 2.2.1, we have $H^*(X_s, B\Omega_{X_s}^1) = 0$ for all $s \in S$, and hence $H^*(X, B\Omega_{X/S}^1) = 0$ by [Har77, Theorem 12.11] and the Leray spectral sequence for X/S . \square

Lemma 4.2.4. *Let X/k be a 1-ordinary variety with trivial canonical class, and let $\tilde{X}/W_2(k)$ be its canonical lifting. Suppose that $H^d(X, W\mathcal{O}_X)$ is torsion-free. Then $\omega_{\tilde{X}/W_2(k)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$.*

Proof. The assumption on torsion implies that $H^d(X, W_2\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a free $W_2(k)$ -module. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.2.3, we have $H^d(X, W_2\mathcal{O}_X) \simeq H^d(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$, thus the latter is locally free. Since $d = \dim X$, the formation of $R^d f_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ commutes with base change. The rest of the argument follows the lines of proof of Corollary 2.5.4 (for $i = 0$). \square

5. FROBENIUS AND THE HODGE FILTRATION (I)

Let S be a noetherian affine k -scheme and let \tilde{S} be a flat lifting of S over $W_2(k)$. Let (X, σ) be a smooth projective F -split scheme over S endowed with an F -splitting σ . We endow \tilde{S} with the natural PD-structure on the ideal (p) . The key property of the canonical lifting $\tilde{X}(\sigma)/\tilde{S}$ defined in the previous section, which will enable us to get our hands on the associated crystal, is the following.

Theorem 5.0.1. *For every lifting $F_{\tilde{S}}: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ of the Frobenius of S , the crystalline Frobenius*

$$\varphi(F_{\tilde{S}}): H_{\text{dR}}^n(\tilde{X}(\sigma)/\tilde{S}) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^n(\tilde{X}(\sigma)/\tilde{S})$$

maps $F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^n(\tilde{X}(\sigma)/\tilde{S})$ into itself for every $n \geq 0$

The proof of Theorem 5.0.1 occupies §§5.1–5.5. In §5.6, we relate the Hodge filtration to the Hodge–Witt filtration in the case $S = \text{Spec } k$, which is used to characterize the canonical lifting in §5.7. In the subsequent §5.8, we apply this theorem to relate φ to the first higher Hasse–Witt operation. In Section 5.1.4, we will revisit this idea for the modular lifting of Frobenius acting on the crystal associated to a universal deformation of a 1-ordinary variety with trivial canonical class.

Example 5.0.2. If \tilde{X} is a smooth and projective scheme over $\tilde{S} = \text{Spec } W_2(k)$ admitting a lift of Frobenius \tilde{F} , then the crystalline Frobenius φ coincides with the map

$$\tilde{F}^*: H_{\text{dR}}^*(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^*(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S})$$

and hence it preserves the Hodge filtration. In fact, \tilde{X}' is canonically isomorphic to $\tilde{X}(\sigma)$ for any F -splitting σ , see [AWZ17, Theorem 3.6.5].

5.1. Proof of Theorem 5.0.1: first steps. Let $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}(\sigma)$ and $\varphi = \varphi(F_{\tilde{S}})$ for brevity, and denote by

$$i: \tilde{X} \hookrightarrow W_2(X/\tilde{S}) = \text{Spec } W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$$

the canonical closed immersion. By the definition of F^1 , the projection $\Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ induces an injection

$$H_{\text{dR}}^n(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S})/F^1 \hookrightarrow H^n(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}).$$

To prove the required assertion, it thus suffices to produce a dotted arrow below making the square commute

$$(5.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{dR}}^n(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{dotted} \\ H_{\text{dR}}^n(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}). \end{array}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.2.3, the map i^* induces an isomorphism

$$i^*: H^n(X, W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^n(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}),$$

while the pair $(F_X, F_{\tilde{S}})$ induces by functoriality of $W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$ a map

$$F: H^n(X, W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})) \longrightarrow H^n(X, W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})).$$

Consequently, it suffices to construct a morphism in the derived category

$$(5.1.2) \quad t: \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet \longrightarrow W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S})$$

such that the diagrams

$$(5.1.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet & \xrightarrow{t} & W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow F \\ \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet & \xrightarrow{t} & W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}). \end{array}$$

and

$$(5.1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) \\ & \nearrow t & \downarrow i^* \\ \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}. \end{array}$$

commute. This will be our strategy for the proof in §5.2–5.5.

5.2. Review of crystalline theory. To construct (5.1.2) and prove the commutativity of (5.1.3), we first need to explicate the construction of the crystalline Frobenius $\varphi(F_{\tilde{S}})$ (e.g. [III79, 0 3.2]).

Let $e: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ be a closed immersion into a smooth \tilde{S} -scheme \tilde{Y} , and let \bar{Y} be the PD-envelope of e . Then the map induced by $\bar{e}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$,

$$\Omega_{\tilde{Y}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet|_{\bar{Y}} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet,$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. On the other hand, \bar{Y} coincides with the PD-envelope of X in \tilde{Y} . Consequently, if now $F_{\tilde{Y}}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ is a lifting of Frobenius compatible with $F_{\tilde{S}}$, then by functoriality of the PD-envelope $F_{\tilde{X}}$ naturally descends to a map $F_{\bar{Y}}: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$. The crystalline Frobenius $\varphi(F_{\tilde{S}})$ is the composition

$$\varphi(F_{\tilde{S}}): \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet \simeq \Omega_{\tilde{Y}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet|_{\bar{Y}} \xrightarrow{F_{\bar{Y}}^*} \Omega_{\tilde{Y}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet|_{\bar{Y}} \simeq \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet.$$

It is independent of the choice of $(\tilde{Y}, e, F_{\tilde{Y}})$. Such data exist if \tilde{X} is projective (take \tilde{Y} to be a projective space and $F_{\tilde{Y}}$ be the map raising the coordinates to the p -th power).

5.3. Construction of the map t (5.1.2). Let \tilde{Y} be a smooth \tilde{S} -scheme endowed with a Frobenius lifting $F_{\tilde{Y}}$ commuting with $F_{\tilde{S}}$. By definition, $W_2(\mathcal{O}_Y/\tilde{S})$ is identified with the subsheaf $W_{\tilde{Y}}$ (3.1.1) of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}$, and the image of $F_{\tilde{Y}}^*: \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}$ is contained in $W_{\tilde{Y}}$. We obtain a natural map

$$t(F_{\tilde{Y}}): \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \longrightarrow W_2(\mathcal{O}_Y/\tilde{S}).$$

The following square commutes

$$(5.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} & \xrightarrow{t(F_{\tilde{Y}})} & W_2(\mathcal{O}_Y/\tilde{S}) \\ F_{\tilde{Y}} \downarrow & & \downarrow F_Y \\ \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} & \xrightarrow{t(F_{\tilde{Y}})} & W_2(\mathcal{O}_Y/\tilde{S}). \end{array}$$

If $S = \text{Spec } k$, this is the Cartier morphism [III79, 0 1.3.21].

Let now $e: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ be a closed immersion into a smooth \tilde{S} -scheme and let \bar{Y} be the PD-envelope of e , which is the same as the PD-envelope of X in \tilde{Y} . The closed immersion $X \hookrightarrow W_2(X/\tilde{S})$ is a PD-thickening of X over $S \hookrightarrow \tilde{S}$ fitting inside a commutative diagram of solid arrows

$$(5.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longrightarrow & \bar{Y} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Y} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{t} & & & \uparrow t(F_{\tilde{Y}}) \\ W_2(X/\tilde{S}) & \longrightarrow & & & W_2(Y/\tilde{S}). \end{array}$$

By the universal property defining the PD-envelope, we obtain a unique dotted arrow making the above diagram commute. We define now the desired map t as the composition

$$(5.3.3) \quad t: \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet \simeq \Omega_{\tilde{Y}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet|_{\bar{Y}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}} \xrightarrow{\bar{t}^*} W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}).$$

5.4. Commutativity of (5.1.3). By the description of the crystalline Frobenius §5.2, the left square below commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \Omega_{\tilde{Y}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet|_{\bar{Y}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{t}^*} & W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) \\ \varphi(F_{\tilde{S}}) \downarrow & & \downarrow F_{\tilde{Y}}^* & & \downarrow F_{\tilde{Y}}^* & & \downarrow F_X \\ \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \Omega_{\tilde{Y}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet|_{\bar{Y}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{t}^*} & W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) \end{array}$$

Since the horizontal compositions equal t , we are left with checking the commutativity of the remaining two squares. The middle square commutes by the definition of $F_{\tilde{Y}}$. For the right square, note that by the commutativity of (5.3.1) we get a morphism of solid diagrams (5.3.2), and hence a morphism of diagrams with the dotted arrows, i.e. the commutativity in question.

5.5. Commutativity of (5.1.4). The diagram in the derived category can be described in terms of maps of complexes as

$$(5.5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{\tilde{Y}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet|_{\bar{Y}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}} \xrightarrow{\bar{t}^*} W_2(\mathcal{O}_X/\tilde{S}) \\ \wr \downarrow & & \searrow \bar{e}^* \quad \downarrow i^* \\ \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \end{array}$$

where the left cell clearly commutes. Interestingly, the right cell commutes on the level of sheaves only for certain lifts of Frobenius $F_{\tilde{Y}}$.

Definition 5.5.1. Let $(\tilde{Y}, e, F_{\tilde{Y}})$ be as in §5.2. We call such data *retracting* if the diagram below commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{e} & \tilde{Y} \\ i \downarrow & & \uparrow t(F_{\tilde{Y}}) \\ W_2(X/\tilde{S}) & \xrightarrow{e} & W_2(Y/\tilde{S}). \end{array}$$

It is easy to construct retracting triples $(\tilde{Y}, e, F_{\tilde{Y}})$: take any such triple and replace the embedding e with the composition

$$e': \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{i} W_2(X/\tilde{S}) \longrightarrow W_2(Y/\tilde{S}) \xrightarrow{t(F_{\tilde{Y}})} \tilde{Y}.$$

Let $(\tilde{Y}, e, F_{\tilde{Y}})$ be a retracting triple. By the universal property of the PD-envelope, we see that the triangle in the diagram below commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & \bar{Y} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Y} \\ i \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{t} & & & \uparrow t(F_{\tilde{Y}}) \\ W_2(X/\tilde{S}) & \longrightarrow & & & W_2(Y/\tilde{S}), \end{array}$$

which is precisely the assertion that the right cell in (5.5.1) commutes. This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.0.1.

Remark 5.5.2. The name ‘retracting’ is justified by the case $\tilde{X} = \tilde{Y}$, $e = \text{id}$, in which case it simply states that $t(F_{\tilde{X}}): W_2(X/\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a retraction of the closed immersion i . If \tilde{X} admits a Frobenius lifting, it also admits a retracting one, and consequently retracting Frobenius liftings exist locally on \tilde{X} .

If $S = \text{Spec } k$, the space of retracting Frobenius liftings is either empty or a torsor under $\text{Hom}(\Omega_X^1, B_X^1)$. For example, if X is an abelian variety and σ is the unique F -splitting, then $\tilde{X}(\sigma)$ admits multiple Frobenius liftings, but exactly one of them is retracting. This is the Serre–Tate canonical lifting.

5.6. Comparison with de Rham–Witt theory. Let $S = \text{Spec } k$. We finish the discussion of Theorem 5.0.1 by comparing the map $t: \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet \rightarrow W_2\mathcal{O}_X$ (5.3.3) constructed in the proof with the map t' obtained by composing the canonical quasi-isomorphism $\Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet \simeq Ru_*\mathcal{O}_{X/\tilde{S}}$ with crystalline cohomology, the quasi-isomorphism $Ru_*\mathcal{O}_{X/\tilde{S}} \simeq W_2\Omega_X^\bullet$ coming from de Rham–Witt theory, and the projection $W_2\Omega_X^\bullet \rightarrow W_2\mathcal{O}_X$.

To this end, let $(\tilde{Y}, e, F_{\tilde{Y}})$ be a triple as in §5.2. By definition [III79, p. 600], the isomorphism $\Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet \simeq Ru_*\mathcal{O}_{X/\tilde{S}} \simeq W_2\Omega_X^\bullet$ equals the composition

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet \simeq \Omega_{\tilde{Y}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet|_{\tilde{Y}} \xrightarrow{t(F_{\tilde{Y}})} \Omega_{W_2X}^\bullet \longrightarrow W_2\Omega_X^\bullet.$$

After projecting to the 0-th term in each complex, we see that $t = t'$. Combining this with the commutativity of (5.1.4) (a priori for a retracting triple), we obtain:

Corollary 5.6.1. *The following diagram commutes in the derived category*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W_2\Omega_X^\bullet & \longrightarrow & W_2\mathcal{O}_X \\ & \nearrow \sim & & \downarrow i^* \\ Ru_*\mathcal{O}_{X/\tilde{S}} & & & \\ & \searrow \sim & & \\ & \Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}^\bullet & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}. \end{array}$$

5.7. Uniqueness of the canonical lifting. Using Ogus' results on Griffiths transversality in crystalline cohomology [Ogu78b], we can show that for 1-ordinary varieties with trivial canonical class in odd characteristic, the property expressed in Theorem 5.0.1 characterizes the canonical lifting.

Theorem 5.7.1. *Suppose that $p > 2$. Let X/k be a 1-ordinary variety with trivial canonical class of dimension d , and let $\tilde{X}/W_2(k)$ be the canonical lifting of X' , i.e. $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}(\sigma)$ for the unique F -splitting σ on X . Suppose that for every lifting of X to $W_2(k)$, the Hodge groups are free and the Hodge spectral sequence degenerates. Then \tilde{X} is the unique lifting of X over $W_2(k)$ for which the crystalline Frobenius φ preserves $F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/W_2(k))$.*

Proof. Since the Frobenius is bijective on $H^d(X, W_2 \mathcal{O}_X)$, we obtain a decomposition

$$H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W_2(k)) \simeq H^d(X, W_2 \mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^d(X, W_2 \Omega_X^{\bullet \geq 1})$$

where the Frobenius is divisible by p on the second summand. By Corollary 5.6.1, we see that $F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/W_2(k))$ coincides with the second summand. Consequently, $F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/W_2(k))$ is the unique Frobenius-stable lifting of $F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k)$.

It remains to show that different liftings of X give rise to different liftings of $F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k)$. More precisely, if $\bar{X}/W_2(k)$ is another lifting such that the image \bar{F}^1 of $F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^d(\bar{X}/W_2(k))$ under the crystalline isomorphism

$$H_{\text{dR}}^d(\bar{X}/W_2(k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/W_2(k))$$

equals $\tilde{F}^1 = F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/W_2(k))$, then $\bar{X} \simeq \tilde{X}$. The obstruction to $\bar{F}^1 = \tilde{F}^1$ is the map $\bar{F}^1 \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/W_2(k))/\tilde{F}^1$, which since $\bar{F}^1 = \tilde{F}^1 \pmod{p}$ vanishes mod p and hence factors through a map $F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k)/F^1$. Since $p > 2$, by Griffiths transversality [Ogu78b] this map vanishes on F^2 , and hence factors through a map

$$\eta(\bar{X}): H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) \simeq \text{gr}^1 H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k) \longrightarrow \text{gr}^0 H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/k) \simeq H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Varying $\bar{X} \in \text{Def}_X(W_2(k))$, writing $v = \bar{X} - \tilde{X} \in H^1(X, T_X)$ we obtain a map

$$v \mapsto \eta(\tilde{X} + v): H^1(X, T_X) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1), H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X)).$$

By [Ogu78b, Corollary 2.12], this map coincides with map obtained by cup product, which is an isomorphism in our case. \square

Corollary 5.7.2. *In the situation of Theorem 5.7.1, if X is either an abelian variety or a K3 surface, then the canonical lifting \tilde{X} agrees modulo p^2 with the canonical lifting constructed in [Del81].*

5.8. The first higher Hasse–Witt operation. Let (X, σ) be an F -split smooth proper scheme over k , and let $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}(\sigma)$ be the canonical lifting of X' to $W_2(k)$. Suppose that $p > 2$, that the Hodge groups of \tilde{X} are free and that its Hodge spectral sequence degenerates. Let $H = H_{\text{dR}}^n(\tilde{X}/W_2(k))$ for some $n \geq 0$ and let

$$\varphi: H \longrightarrow H$$

be the crystalline Frobenius. By the easy case of the divisibility estimates [Maz73] φ maps F^1 into pH and vanishes on F^2 (as $p > 2$). Moreover, by Theorem 5.0.1, we have $\varphi(F^1) \subseteq F^1$, so that $\varphi(F^1) \subseteq pH \cap F^1 = pF^1$ since F^1 is a direct summand of H . There is therefore a unique morphism

$$\beta = \frac{\varphi}{p}: H^{n-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(X, \Omega_X^1)$$

such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 H & \longleftarrow & F^1 & \longrightarrow & F^1 \otimes k & \longrightarrow & (F^1/F^2) \otimes k \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{n-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) \\
 \varphi \downarrow & & \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\
 H & \longleftarrow & F^1 & \xleftarrow{p} & F^1 \otimes k & \longrightarrow & (F^1/F^2) \otimes k \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{n-1}(X, \Omega_X^1).
 \end{array}$$

In addition, the Hasse–Witt operation $\mathrm{HW}(0): H^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is bijective by Proposition 2.2.1, so that the first higher Hasse–Witt operation (2.3.1)

$$\mathrm{HW}(1): H^{n-1}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1) \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1)$$

is defined.

Proposition 5.8.1. *We have $\beta = \mathrm{HW}(1)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in F^1$, and let y be its image in $(F^1/F^2) \otimes k = H^{n-1}(X, \Omega_X^1)$. The element $\beta(y)$ is characterized by

$$\varphi(x) = p \cdot \beta(y) \bmod F^2.$$

Let $z \in F_1/F_0$ be the image of y under the isomorphism (2.1.3)

$$C^{-1}: H^{n-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_1/F_0.$$

By [BO78, 8.26.3], we have $z = \varphi(x)/p \bmod F_0$. The element $\mathrm{HW}(1)(y)$ is constructed as follows: there exists a unique $t \in F_1 \cap (F^1 \otimes k)$ lifting z , and $\mathrm{HW}(1)(y)$ is the image of t in $(F^1/F^2) \otimes k$. Since φ preserves F^1 , we must have $\varphi(x) = pt$, so that $\beta(y) = t = \mathrm{HW}(1)(y)$ modulo F^2 . \square

6. MODULAR FROBENIUS LIFTINGS

In this section we show how the construction of the canonical lifting produces certain ‘modular’ liftings of Frobenius modulo p^2 . For motivation, suppose that there exists a fine moduli space \tilde{M} over $W_2(k)$ parametrizing certain 1-ordinary varieties with trivial canonical class, and that the morphism $\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} W_2(k)$ is flat. Let \tilde{X}/\tilde{M} be the universal family, and let X/M be its reduction modulo p . The construction of the relative canonical lifting yields a family \tilde{X}'/\tilde{M} lifting $X' = F_M^* X$. Since M is a fine moduli space, there exists a unique morphism $\tilde{F}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ such that $\tilde{X}' \simeq \tilde{F}^* \tilde{X}$. This morphism is the desired lifting of Frobenius on the moduli space \tilde{M} .

There are two ways of avoiding the problem of the non-existence of a fine moduli space. First, one can consider a suitable moduli stack \mathcal{M} . Second, one can try to construct the Frobenius lifting \tilde{F} on the base of a family X/M which is no longer universal, but which is universal formally locally at every point. We find the second approach more useful, and we deal with it first, coming back to stacks in §6.2.

Before proceeding, let us recall the following result, which implies that the data of the modular Frobenius lifting is the same as the assignment of a canonical lifting \tilde{X}/\tilde{S} of X' to every family X/S .

Proposition 6.0.1 ([AWZ17, Proposition 3.5.3]). *Let \tilde{M} be a flat $W_2(k)$ -scheme endowed with a Frobenius lifting $F_{\tilde{M}}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$. Then for every flat $W_2(k)$ -scheme \tilde{S} endowed with*

a map $f: S \rightarrow M$ there exists a canonically defined morphism $g: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ lifting $F_M \circ f$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & g & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{M} & \xrightarrow{F_{\tilde{M}}} & \tilde{M} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 S & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \xrightarrow{F_M} & M.
 \end{array}$$

This lifting g is functorial, and it commutes with every Frobenius lifting on \tilde{S} . If \tilde{f} is a lifting of g , then $g = F_{\tilde{M}} \circ \tilde{f}$.

The morphism g is defined as the composition

$$\tilde{S} \xrightarrow{\theta} W_2(S) \xrightarrow{W_2(f)} W_2(M) \xrightarrow{t(\tilde{F})} \tilde{M}$$

where on functions $\theta(x_0, x_1) = \tilde{x}_0^p + p\tilde{x}_1$ for arbitrary liftings $\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}$, and where $t(\tilde{F})$ is the Cartier map, defined by $t(\tilde{F})(y) = (y, \delta(y))$ where $\tilde{F}(y) = y^p + p\delta(y)$. This defines a mapping

$\{\text{liftings of Frobenius on } \tilde{M}\} \rightarrow \{\text{functorial associations } f \mapsto g \text{ as in Proposition 6.0.1}\}$
 which is a bijection, with inverse given by evaluation at id_M , at least if M is smooth over k (so that \tilde{f} exists locally on \tilde{S}).

6.1. Frobenius liftings in modular families. Let \tilde{M} be a flat $W_2(k)$ -scheme locally of finite type, equipped with a flat family \tilde{X}/\tilde{M} whose fibers are 1-ordinary varieties with trivial canonical class. Suppose that this family is ‘formally universal’ in the following sense: for every $\bar{m} \in M(\bar{k})$, the natural transformation

$$\text{Spf } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{M} \otimes W_2(\bar{k}), \bar{m}} \rightarrow \text{Def}_{X_{\bar{m}}/W_2(\bar{k})}$$

induced by the base change of \tilde{X}/\tilde{M} to $\text{Spf } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{M} \otimes W_2(\bar{k})}$, is an isomorphism. Let \tilde{X}'/\tilde{M} be the canonical lifting of X' (Corollary 4.1.3).

Proposition 6.1.1. *There exists a unique lifting of Frobenius $F_{\tilde{M}}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ such that the families $F_{\tilde{M}}^* \tilde{X}/\tilde{M}$ and \tilde{X}'/\tilde{M} are locally isomorphic.*

Proof. The uniqueness is clear, as any two such lifts have to coincide on $\text{Spf } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{M} \otimes W_2(\bar{k}), \bar{m}}$ for every $\bar{m} \in M(\bar{k})$. This implies that the existence of $F_{\tilde{M}}$ can be checked locally. We let

$$\tilde{P} = \text{Isom}_{\tilde{M} \times \tilde{M}}(\pi_1^* \tilde{X}, \pi_2^* \tilde{X}');$$

this is the scheme representing the functor associating to $S/W_2(k)$ the set of triples

$$(\alpha: S \rightarrow \tilde{M}, \beta: S \rightarrow \tilde{M}, \iota: \alpha^* \tilde{X}' \simeq \beta^* \tilde{X}).$$

Being an open subscheme of the Hilbert scheme of the product family $\pi_1^* \tilde{X} \times \pi_2^* \tilde{X}'$, it is a scheme locally of finite type over $W_2(k)$. We let $\pi: \tilde{P} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ be the projection mapping (α, β, ι) to α .

The problem of constructing $F_{\tilde{M}}$ can now be restated as follows: the data $(\text{id}_M, F_M, \text{id})$ produces a section $\sigma: M \rightarrow P$ of $\pi: P \rightarrow M$, and we wish to extend it to a section $\tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{P}$ locally on M . For this, it is enough to show that π is smooth along the image of σ .

To this end, we can replace the base \tilde{M} with $\text{Spf } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{M} \otimes W_2(\bar{k}), \bar{m}}$. By pro-representability, there exists a unique $\beta: \text{Spf } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{M} \otimes W_2(\bar{k}), \bar{m}} \rightarrow \text{Spf } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{M} \otimes W_2(\bar{k}), \bar{m}}$ such that $\tilde{X}' \simeq \beta^* \tilde{X}$. Fix such an isomorphism ι_0 , then the base change of \tilde{P} to $\text{Spf } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{M} \otimes W_2(\bar{k}), \bar{m}}$ becomes identified with the automorphism scheme of \tilde{X}' , which is smooth by [Ser06, Theorem 2.6.1]. \square

Remark 6.1.2. The lifting of Frobenius $F_{\tilde{M}}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ corresponds to a morphism

$$t(F_{\tilde{M}}): W_2(M) \rightarrow \tilde{M},$$

and hence yields a natural extension of X/M to $W_2(M)$. See [BG16] for similar considerations.

6.2. Frobenius liftings on moduli stacks. Consider the stack \mathcal{M} on the big étale site of $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$ associating to a scheme S over $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$ the groupoid of flat schemes X/S whose geometric fibers are 1-ordinary varieties with trivial canonical class. We wish for \mathcal{M} to be a flat (or even smooth) Deligne–Mumford stack, but this is false already for K3 surfaces. In any case, the diagonal of \mathcal{M} is representable by schemes locally of finite type.

To circumvent this difficulty, we choose an open substack $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ which is a Deligne–Mumford stack and flat over $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$. In simple terms, there exists a flat algebraic space S over $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$ and a surjective étale map $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. The map f corresponds to a family $X/S \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ which is formally modular.

We note that there exists a largest such substack \mathcal{U} : take S_0 to be the disjoint union of all flat algebraic spaces over $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$ endowed with an étale map to \mathcal{M} , and let $S_1 = S_0 \times_{\mathcal{M}} S_0$ (which is an algebraic space, since the diagonal of \mathcal{M} is representable). Then

$$S_1 \rightrightarrows S_0$$

defines a groupoid presentation of an open substack $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. A 1-ordinary variety with trivial canonical class X/k defines a point in $\mathcal{U}(k)$ if e.g. $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and its formal deformation functor is pro-representable and flat over $W_2(k)$.

The Frobenius liftings constructed in §6.1 on S_1 and S_0 descend to produce a lifting of Frobenius $F_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$.

Remark 6.2.1. Since abelian varieties and K3 surfaces possess non-algebraic deformations, the above construction does not apply on the nose to the moduli stacks of such varieties. However, it can be adapted to produce Frobenius liftings on the moduli of principally polarized abelian varieties: a principal polarization is an isomorphism $A \rightarrow A^\vee$ and it is clear that such a structure is preserved by the construction of the canonical lifting. Similarly, for ordinary K3 surfaces in characteristic $p > 2$, the formal subscheme of Def_X where a given line bundle L deforms is preserved by the Frobenius lifting by [Del81, 2.2.2], and hence one can construct a Frobenius lifting modulo p^2 on the moduli stack of polarized ordinary K3 surfaces in odd characteristic.

7. FROBENIUS AND THE HODGE FILTRATION (II)

7.1. The modular Frobenius lifting preserves F^1 . Let X_0/k be a 1-ordinary variety with trivial canonical class. Suppose that the deformation functor $\tilde{S} = \text{Def}_{X/W_2(k)}$ is pro-representable and smooth over $W_2(k)$. Corollary 4.1.3 applied to the reduction X/S of the universal family \tilde{X}/\tilde{S} yields a family \tilde{X}' lifting $X' = F_S^*X$, which is isomorphic to $F_{\tilde{S}}^*\tilde{X}$ for a unique Frobenius lifting $F_{\tilde{S}}: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$.

Theorem 7.1.1. *The crystalline Frobenius map induced by the Frobenius lifting $F_{\tilde{S}}$*

$$\varphi(F_{\tilde{S}}): H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S})$$

maps $F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S})$ into itself.

This should be contrasted with the fact that for the canonical lift of a family X/S , every Frobenius lifting on \tilde{S} preserves F^1 (Theorem 5.0.1). Interestingly, we deduce Theorem 7.1.1 from this fact.

Proof. We have to show that the map of \mathcal{O}_S -modules

$$F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*F^1 \hookrightarrow F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*H_{\mathrm{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) \xrightarrow{\varphi(F_{\mathfrak{S}})} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S})/F^1$$

vanishes. Since $F_{\mathfrak{S}}: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ is faithfully flat, it is enough to check this after pull-back by $F_{\mathfrak{S}}$. On the other hand, $F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*\tilde{X}$ is by definition of $F_{\mathfrak{S}}$ the canonical lifting of the family X/S . By Theorem 5.0.1, we know that

$$\varphi(F_{\mathfrak{S}}): H_{\mathrm{dR}}^d(F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR}}^d(F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*\tilde{X}/\tilde{S})$$

preserves F^1 . But $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^d(F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}) \simeq F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*H_{\mathrm{dR}}^d(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S})$ compatibly with Frobenius, and hence the result. \square

Our remaining goal in this section will be to employ the above result in order to relate the Frobenius lifting $F_{\mathfrak{S}}$ to the first higher Hasse–Witt operation of X (§7.2). This will be then applied in §7.3 to show that X can be deformed to a 2-ordinary variety, and to show that the property of preserving F^1 actually characterizes $F_{\mathfrak{S}}$. This in turn allows us to compare $F_{\mathfrak{S}}$ with the classical construction in the case of abelian varieties and K3 surfaces.

7.2. The modular Frobenius lifting and the first higher Hasse–Witt operation.

Let $\tilde{S} = \mathrm{Spf} W_2(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_r]]$ and let $F_{\mathfrak{S}}: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be a Frobenius lifting. Let $H = (H, \nabla, F^\bullet, \varphi)$ be a Hodge F -crystal over \tilde{S} (Definition 2.6.1). Let

$$\psi: T_{\tilde{S}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(F^1/F^2, H/F^1)$$

be the map induced by ∇ . Suppose that the Frobenius map

$$\varphi(F_{\mathfrak{S}})F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*: H \longrightarrow H$$

maps F^1 into F^1 and vanishes on F^2 , yielding maps

$$\varphi_0: H/F^1 \longrightarrow H/F^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_1: F^1/F^2 \longrightarrow F^1/F^2.$$

Suppose in addition that the map φ_0 is bijective.

Proposition 7.2.1. *In the above situation, the following square commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\tilde{S}} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathrm{Hom}(F^1/F^2, H/F^1) \\ dF_{\mathfrak{S}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*T_{\tilde{S}} & \xrightarrow{F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*\psi} & \mathrm{Hom}(F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(F^1/F^2), F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(H/F^1)), \end{array}$$

where γ maps a homomorphism $f: F^1/F^2 \rightarrow H/F^1$ to the composition

$$F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(F^1/F^2) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F^1/F^2 \xrightarrow{f} H/F^1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0^{-1}} F_{\mathfrak{S}}(H/F^1).$$

Proof. Let $v \in T_A$, we show that the two images of v in $\mathrm{Hom}(F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(F^1/F^2), F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(H/F^1))$ agree after post-composition with $\varphi_0: F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(H/F^1) \rightarrow H/F^1$. Let $x \in F^1$, then

$$\varphi_0(\gamma(\psi(v))(F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(x) \bmod F^2)) = (\nabla_v \varphi(F_{\mathfrak{S}}))(x) \bmod F^1$$

while

$$\varphi_0(F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(\psi)(dF_{\mathfrak{S}}(v))F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(x) \bmod F^2) = \varphi(F_{\mathfrak{S}})(F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*\nabla_{dF_{\mathfrak{S}}(v)}x) \bmod F^1.$$

Thus the assertion follows from the fact that $\varphi(F_{\mathfrak{S}})$ is horizontal, i.e.

$$\nabla_v(\varphi(F_{\mathfrak{S}})(F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*x)) = \varphi(F_{\mathfrak{S}})(\nabla_v F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*x) = \varphi(F_{\mathfrak{S}})(F_{\mathfrak{S}}^*\nabla_{dF_{\mathfrak{S}}(v)}x). \quad \square$$

Corollary 7.2.2. *Let X be a 1-ordinary variety with trivial canonical class with unobstructed deformations over $W_2(k)$. Suppose that $p > 2$ and that the assumptions in §2.6 are satisfied. Then the following square commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X, T_X) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1), H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) \\ \xi(1.5.2) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Hom}(\text{HW}(1), \text{HW}(0)^{-1}) \\ H^1(X, T_X) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1), H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X)). \end{array}$$

Consequently, X is 2-ordinary if and only if the Frobenius lifting $F_{\tilde{S}}$ is ordinary (Definition 8.1.3).

7.3. Applications. If \tilde{S} is a smooth scheme over $W_2(k)$ with a Frobenius lift $F_{\tilde{S}}$, then the induced map $\xi = \frac{1}{p}F_{\tilde{S}}^*: F_{\tilde{S}}^*\Omega_{\tilde{S}/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{S}/k}^1$ is injective and hence generically an isomorphism. We deduce that the 2-ordinary locus is a dense open subset in the moduli of 1-ordinary varieties as in Corollary 7.2.2. More formally, we have:

Corollary 7.3.1. *Every 1-ordinary variety X as in Corollary 7.2.2 admits a formal deformation over $k[[t]]$ whose generic fiber is 2-ordinary.*

Corollary 7.3.2. *Under the assumptions of Corollary 7.2.2. The Frobenius lifting $F_{\tilde{S}}$ in Theorem 7.1.1 is the unique Frobenius lifting on \tilde{S} for which $\varphi(F_{\tilde{S}})$ preserves $F^1 H_{dR}^d(\tilde{X}/\tilde{S})$.*

Proof. Suppose that F is another Frobenius lifting preserving F^1 and write

$$\tilde{S} = \text{Spf } W_2(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_r]], \quad F_{\tilde{S}}(t_i) = t_i^p + p f_i, \quad F(t_i) = t_i^p + p f'_i.$$

We have to prove that $f_i = f'_i$ for all i . Proposition 7.2.1 implies that $dF_{\tilde{S}} = dF$, so that $f_i - f'_i = p g_i$ for some $g_i \in k[[t_1, \dots, t_r]]$. Let $v = \sum g_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}$; if $F_{\tilde{S}} \neq F$, then $v \neq 0$. Since the ‘Kodaira–Spencer’ map

$$\text{gr } \nabla: T_{\tilde{S}} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(F^1/F^2, H/F^1)$$

is an isomorphism, there exists an $x \in F^1$ such that $\nabla_v x \notin F^1$.

As $p > 2$, the ‘change of Frobenius’ formula (2.6.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(F_{\tilde{S}})F_{\tilde{S}}^*x &= \varphi(F)F^*x + \sum_{i=1}^r p(f_i - f'_i)\varphi(F)F^*(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}}x) \\ (7.3.1) \quad &= \varphi(F)F^*x + p\varphi(F_S)F_S^*(\nabla_v x) \quad \text{for } x \in H. \end{aligned}$$

Since the Frobenius of S induces an isomorphism on $H/F^1 \otimes k$, we have

$$\varphi(F)F^*(\nabla_v x) \notin F^1$$

so the corresponding term in (7.3.1) is not in F^1 , a contradiction. \square

Applying [Del81, Appendix], we obtain:

Corollary 7.3.3. *In the situation of Theorem 7.1.1, if $p > 2$ and X is either an abelian variety or a K3 surface, then the Frobenius lifting $F_{\tilde{S}}$ on $\tilde{S} = \text{Def}_{X/W_2(k)}$ agrees with the restriction modulo p^2 of the Serre–Tate Frobenius lifting constructed in [Del81].*

8. CANONICAL COORDINATES

8.1. Ordinary liftings of Frobenius. Let $\tilde{S} = \text{Spf } W_2(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_r]]$, and let S be its reduction mod p .

Lemma 8.1.1. *Let $\tilde{F}: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be a lifting of Frobenius. There exists a unique map over $W_2(k)$*

$$f: \mathrm{Spf} W_2(k) \longrightarrow \tilde{S}$$

which commutes with the Frobenius lifts.

Proof. This is standard. See e.g. [Kat73, §1.1]. \square

Definition 8.1.2. We call f the *Teichmüller point* associated to \tilde{F} , and denote the ideal of its image by $J_{\tilde{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}$.

Since the map $\tilde{F}^*: \tilde{F}^*\Omega_{\tilde{S}}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{S}}^1$ vanishes modulo p , there exists a unique mapping

$$\xi: F_S^*\Omega_S^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_S^1$$

such that $\tilde{F}^*(\omega) = p \cdot \xi(\omega \bmod p)$, as in (1.5.1). Explicitly, if $\tilde{F}(t_i) = t_i^p + pf_i$ then

$$\xi(dt_i) = t_i^{p-1}dt_i + df_i.$$

Definition 8.1.3 ([Moc96, III §1]). We call a lifting of Frobenius $\tilde{F}: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ *ordinary* if the map ξ is an isomorphism.

Remarks 8.1.4. (1) The determinant of ξ sends the generator

$$\tilde{F}^*(dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_r)$$

to

$$(t_1^{p-1}dt_1 + df_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (t_r^{p-1}dt_r + df_r),$$

which equals $df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_r$ modulo $(t_1, \dots, t_r) \cdot \Omega_S^1$. In particular, the lifting \tilde{F} is ordinary if and only if the Jacobian

$$\det \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t_j} \right] \in \mathcal{O}_S$$

has invertible constant term.

(2) If \tilde{F} is ordinary, the fixed point set of ξ

$$\{\omega \in \Omega_S^1 \mid \xi(\omega) = \omega\}$$

is an \mathbf{F}_p -vector space of dimension r , and any basis yields a basis of Ω_S^1 as an \mathcal{O}_S -module.

(3) An easy calculation using the fact that \tilde{F}^* vanishes on $\Omega_{\tilde{S}}^2$ shows that $d \circ \xi$ vanishes. In particular, forms fixed by ξ are always closed.

8.2. Comparison with the p -th power map. We are interested in identifying the group $Q(\tilde{F})$ consisting of $q \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}^\times$ for which $\tilde{F}^*(q) = q^p$. For this, we note first that ‘subtracting’ the diagrams with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S & \xrightarrow{1+pf} & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S^\times \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow F_S^* & & \downarrow \tilde{F}^* & & \downarrow F_S^* \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S & \xrightarrow{1+pf} & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S^\times \longrightarrow 1 \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S & \xrightarrow{1+pf} & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S^\times \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow f \mapsto f^p & & \downarrow f \mapsto f^p \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S & \xrightarrow{1+pf} & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S^\times \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

yields

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S^\times \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow F_S^* & & \downarrow f \mapsto \tilde{F}^*(f)/f^p & & \downarrow F_S^* \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S^\times \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

Snake lemma then gives an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Q(\tilde{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S^\times \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{O}_S/\mathcal{O}_S^p.$$

The composition of δ with the injective map $d: \mathcal{O}_S/\mathcal{O}_S^p \rightarrow Z\Omega_S^1$ maps f to $\xi(df)f^{-p} - d \log f$, which vanishes precisely if $\xi(d \log f) = d \log f$. This gives

$$Q(\tilde{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{f \in \mathcal{O}_S^\times \mid \xi(d \log f) = d \log f\}.$$

Lemma 8.2.1. *Suppose that $\omega \in \Omega_S^1$ satisfies $\xi(\omega) = \omega$. Then $\omega = d \log q$ for some $q \in \mathcal{O}_S^\times$, uniquely defined up to $\mathcal{O}_S^{\times p}$.*

Proof. Since $d\omega = 0$ (Remark 8.1.4(3)), we have $C(\omega) = C(\xi(\omega)) = \omega$. On the other hand, we have the short exact sequence [III79, Corollaire 2.1.18, p. 217]

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S^\times/\mathcal{O}_S^{\times p} \longrightarrow Z\Omega_S^1 \xrightarrow{C-1} \Omega_S^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

which yields the result. \square

8.3. Canonical multiplicative coordinates. We will call a tuple of elements $\tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_r \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}$ *multiplicative coordinates* if

$$(\tilde{q}_1 - 1, \dots, \tilde{q}_r - 1, p)$$

is the maximal ideal of $W_2(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_r]]$.

Multiplicative coordinates give rise to the Frobenius lifting \tilde{F} defined by $\tilde{F}^*(\tilde{q}_i) = \tilde{q}_i^p$ which is easily seen to be ordinary. For the converse, we have the following result, whose version ‘over $W(k)$ ’ was proved in [Del81, Corollaire 1.4.5] under the assumption $p > 2$.

Proposition 8.3.1. *Let $\tilde{F}: A \rightarrow A$ be an ordinary lifting of Frobenius and let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$ be a basis of $\{\omega \in \Omega_S^1 \mid \xi(\omega) = \omega\} \cong \mathbf{F}_p^r$.*

- (1) *There exist multiplicative coordinates $q_1, \dots, q_r \in \mathcal{O}_S$, unique up to $\mathcal{O}_S^{\times p}$, such that $\omega_i = d \log q_i$. They admit unique liftings to multiplicative coordinates $\tilde{q}_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}$ such that $\tilde{F}^*(\tilde{q}_i) = \tilde{q}_i^p$.*
- (2) *The ideal $J_{\tilde{F}}$ is generated by $\tilde{q}_i - 1$.*
- (3) *If $q'_1, \dots, q'_r \in \mathcal{O}_S$ have the same property, then*

$$\tilde{q}_i - \tilde{q}'_i \in \tilde{F}(J_{\tilde{F}}) = (\tilde{q}_1^p - 1, \dots, \tilde{q}_r^p - 1)$$

Proof. The first assertion follows directly from Lemma 8.2.1. For the second, by Lemma 8.1.1 it is enough to note that the map $W_2(k)[[\tilde{q}_1 - 1, \dots, \tilde{q}_r - 1]] \rightarrow W_2(k)$ sending all q_i to 1 commutes with the Frobenius lift. For the last assertion, again by Lemma 8.2.1 we have $q'_i = u_i^p q_i$ for some 1-units $u_i \in \mathcal{O}_S$. Let $\tilde{u}_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}$ be a lifting such that $\tilde{u}_i - 1$ belongs to $(q_1 - 1, \dots, q_r - 1) = J_{\tilde{F}}$. Then $\tilde{q}'_i = \tilde{u}_i^p \tilde{q}_i$, so

$$\tilde{q}'_i - \tilde{q}_i = \tilde{q}_i(\tilde{u}_i^p - 1) = \tilde{q}_i \tilde{F}^*(\tilde{u}_i - 1) \in \tilde{F}(J_{\tilde{F}}). \quad \square$$

9. APPLICATIONS TO ISOTRIVIALITY

In this section we use our considerations to infer certain hyperbolicity properties of the 2-ordinary smooth part of moduli space of d -dimensional varieties with trivial canonical class satisfying the conditions

$$(9.0.1) \quad H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^d(X, \Omega_X^1) = 0.$$

More precisely, suppose that S is a simply connected base with no differential forms. We prove that our results prevent the existence of non-isotrivial families over S of varieties with smooth deformation space and satisfying the above conditions and conditions (NCT) and (DEG). We remark that results in similar direction appear in [Ogu78a, Theorem 3.4]. The essential point of the argument is that the moduli space classifying varieties in

question is a smooth Deligne–Mumford substack of \mathcal{U} (defined in §6.2) equipped with an ordinary Frobenius lifting (see Definition 8.1.3). We denote this stack by \mathcal{U}^{sm} .

First, we state a general lemma which we shall then use for the above stack.

Lemma 9.0.1. *Let S be a smooth simply connected variety such that $H^0(S, \Omega_S^1) = 0$. Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a Deligne–Mumford stack admitting an isomorphism $F^*\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}}^1$. Then for every morphism $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ the differential $df: f^*\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_S^1$ is zero.*

Proof. Let $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a morphism. The pullback of the given isomorphism induces an isomorphism $F_S^*f^*\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}^1 \rightarrow f^*\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}^1$. By the results of [LS77] this implies that $f^*\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}^1$ is étale trivializable, and hence trivial because S is simply connected. Consequently, the differential $df: \mathcal{O}_S^n \simeq f^*\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_S^1$ is induced by a collection of section Ω_S^1 , and therefore is zero. This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 9.0.2. In characteristic zero the assumption $H^0(S, \Omega_S^1) = 0$ follows from $\pi_{\text{ét}}^1(S) = 0$. This is no longer true in characteristic p , for example for supersingular Enriques surfaces in characteristic 2 [III79, Proposition 7.3.8, p. 658].

We now apply the above lemma for the stack \mathcal{U}^{sm} . By §6.2 we know that \mathcal{U}^{sm} is Deligne–Mumford, smooth and admits an isomorphism $F^*\Omega_{\mathcal{U}^{\text{sm}}}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{U}^{\text{sm}}}^1$ induced by the differential of the modular Frobenius lifting.

Proposition 9.0.3. *Let S be a smooth simply connected variety over a perfect field k such that $H^0(S, \Omega_S^1) = 0$. Then there are no non-isotrivial families over S of smooth 2-ordinary varieties with trivial canonical class satisfying conditions (9.0.1).*

Proof. Suppose $X \rightarrow S$ is a non-isotrivial family of 2-ordinary Calabi–Yau varieties satisfying conditions (9.0.1), and let $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\text{sm}}$ be the corresponding non-constant modular morphism. The dual of the differential $df: f^*\Omega_{\mathcal{U}^{\text{sm}}}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_S^1$ can be identified with the Kodaira–Spencer class $\text{KS}_{X/S}$, and therefore we may apply the following reasoning repeatedly. Either, df is non-zero and we obtain a contradiction with Lemma 9.0.1 or df is zero, which by the mentioned identification, allows us to apply to Theorem B.0.1 to descent $X \rightarrow S$ via the relative Frobenius $F_{S/k}: S \rightarrow S'$, that is, construct a factorization $f = f' \circ F_{S/k}$ with $f': S' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\text{sm}}$ non-constant. We now substitute f with f' and reiterate the argument. By Lemma 9.0.4 given below, the second situation can only happen finitely many times and therefore the proof is finished. \square

Lemma 9.0.4. *Let $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a non-constant morphism of noetherian Deligne–Mumford stacks, with \mathcal{X} connected. Then there exists an integer $n \geq 0$ such that f does not factorize via the n -th power of the Frobenius morphism $F_{\mathcal{X}/k}^n: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{(n)}$.*

Proof. Let $X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be the étale charts such that f lifts to a morphism of schemes g in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

Assume that f factor through the n -th power of the Frobenius morphism $F_{\mathcal{X}/k}^n: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{(n)}$. By [SGA 5, XIV=XV §1 n°2, Pr. 2(c)] this implies that g factors through the n -th power of the Frobenius of X . For noetherian schemes, the claim is then clear using Krull’s intersection theorem. \square

The following remark was suggested to us by Yohan Brunenbarbe.

Remark 9.0.5. It is conjectured that on simply connected varieties all F -isocrystals are trivial (see [ES15] for the proof in the special case), and hence the Newton polygon

does not change along the fibers. Consequently, in a family of varieties with trivial class satisfying assumption (NCT) – necessary for Hodge polygons to be constant, if one geometric fiber is 2-ordinary then all the fibers are. The conjecture and our results therefore imply that no simply connected variety intersects the 2-ordinary locus of the moduli space of varieties with trivial canonical class.

9.1. Alternative version. In what follows we give a more direct proof of the above results without any reference to the moduli stack. Let S be an integral scheme over k , and let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a family of smooth varieties of dimension d , such that the Hodge sheaves

$$R^{d-i}f_*\Omega_{X/S}^i \quad 0 \leq i \leq d$$

are locally free, and the relative Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence

$$R^j f_* \Omega_{X/S}^{d-i} \implies H_{\text{dR}}^d(X/S)$$

degenerates. Using [DI87, §4], we see these conditions are satisfied if the family lifts mod p^2 and is of relative dimension $d < p$, or if all the fibers satisfy assumptions (NCT) and (DEG).

Using [Kat72, §2.3], the conditions imply that the Hasse–Witt operators can be defined in the relative setting. In order to define $\text{HW}(0)_{X/S}$, we let $f': X' \rightarrow S$ be the Frobenius twist of X/S . The base change map induces a morphism $F_S^* R^d f_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow R^d f'_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ whose composition with the natural map $R^d f'_* \mathcal{O}_{X'} \rightarrow R^d f'_* F_{X/S,*} \mathcal{O}_X = R^d f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ gives a morphism

$$\text{HW}(0)_{X/S}: F_S^* R^d f_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow R^d f_* \mathcal{O}_X,$$

whose specialization for every $s \in S$ is identified with the p -linear map

$$\text{HW}(0): H^d(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s}) \rightarrow H^d(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s}) \quad (\text{cf. §2.2}).$$

Once $\text{HW}(i-1)_{X/S}$ is an isomorphism, we can define

$$\text{HW}(i)_{X/S}: F_S^* R^{d-i} f_* \Omega_{X/S}^i \rightarrow R^{d-i} f_* \Omega_{X/S}^i,$$

which specializes to $\text{HW}(i)$ on the fibers.

Proposition 9.1.1. *Suppose S is a simply connected smooth variety, and $f: X \rightarrow S$ is a family of smooth 2-ordinary varieties with trivial canonical class satisfying (NCT). Then $H^0(S, \Omega_S^1) \neq 0$.*

Proof. First, we observe that the assumption (DEG) is also satisfied along the fibers and therefore the conditions required to define relative Hasse–Witt operators are satisfied. Since the fibers are 2-ordinary, the maps $\text{HW}(0)_{X/S}$ and $\text{HW}(1)_{X/S}$ are well-defined and yield isomorphisms

$$F_S^* R^d f_* \mathcal{O}_X \simeq R^d f_* \mathcal{O}_X \quad F_S^* R^{d-1} f_* \Omega_{X/S}^1 \simeq R^{d-1} f_* \Omega_{X/S}^1.$$

By [LS77], this implies that $R^d f_* \mathcal{O}_X \simeq f_* \omega_{X/S}$ and $R^{d-1} f_* \Omega_{X/S}^1$ are trivial vector bundles. Consequently, using the fact that the fibers have trivial canonical class and Corollary 2.5.4, we see that the dual

$$(R^{d-1} f_* \Omega_{X/S}^1)^\vee \simeq R^1 f_*(T_{X/S} \otimes \omega_{X/S}) \simeq R^1 f_* T_{X/S}.$$

is also trivial. As in the above proof, using the Frobenius descent (see Theorem B.0.1), we may assume that Kodaira–Spencer map $T_S \rightarrow R^1 f_* T_{X/S}$ is non-zero. Its dual therefore furnishes a non-zero differential 1-form on S which finishes the proof. \square

10. SERRE–TATE THEORY A’LA NYGAARD

Let X/k be a 2-ordinary variety with trivial canonical class defined over an *algebraically closed* field k . We set

$$d = \dim X, \quad r = \dim H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) = \dim H^1(X, T_X).$$

In addition to (NCT) and (DEG), we assume that

$$H^{d-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 = H^d(X, \Omega_X^1),$$

(equivalently, $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 = H^0(X, T_X)$, i.e. $\text{Pic}(X)$ and $\text{Aut}(X)$ are reduced and discrete). The first assumption implies that the Artin–Mazur formal group Φ_X is pro-representable (cf. §2.4). The second one implies that Def_X is pro-representable, and follows from the first if Hodge symmetry holds for X .

The goal of this section is to show that Nygaard’s construction of Serre–Tate theory for K3 surfaces [Nyg83] (see [War14] for the Calabi–Yau threefold case) works without much change for varieties X as above under the assumption that the deformation space is smooth. Given that our contribution is rather minor, we keep the discussion quite brief. In particular, we avoid any mention of enlarged Artin–Mazur groups, though they are still ‘morally’ present in the background (see Remark 10.2.3).

10.1. Hodge–Witt and flat cohomology. The following two \mathbf{Z}_p -modules will be of key importance:

$$U = H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k))^{\varphi=1}, \quad E = H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k))^{\varphi=p}.$$

We shall relate U and E to the Hodge–Witt cohomology groups $H^d(X, W\mathcal{O}_X)$ and $H^{d-1}(X, W\Omega_X^1)$ and the cohomology groups $H_{\text{ét}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ and $H_{\text{fl}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))$. Recall (e.g. from [Ill79, II 5.1]) that the latter group is by definition the inverse limit of the flat cohomology groups $H_{\text{fl}}^d(X, \mu_{p^n})$, which by the p^n -Kummer sequence can be identified with the Zariski cohomology groups $H^{d-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^{\times p^n})$, and that the maps

$$d \log[-]: \mathcal{O}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^{\times p^n} \rightarrow W_n \Omega_X^1$$

define by passing to the limit a map $d \log: H_{\text{fl}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \rightarrow H^{d-1}(X, W\Omega_X^1)$.

Lemma 10.1.1. *The \mathbf{Z}_p -modules $H_{\text{ét}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ and $H_{\text{fl}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))$ are torsion free, the maps*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p) \longrightarrow H^d(X, W\mathcal{O}_X)^{F=1}, \quad H_{\text{fl}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \xrightarrow{d \log} H^{d-1}(X, W\Omega_X^1)^{F=1}$$

are bijective and induce isomorphisms

$$H_{\text{ét}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes W(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^d(X, W\mathcal{O}_X), \quad H_{\text{fl}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \otimes W(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{d-1}(X, W\Omega_X^1).$$

Proof. A careful analysis of the proof of [BK86, Lemma 7.1] shows that the cohomology vanishings given by Proposition 2.2.1(ii) and Lemma 2.3.3 are sufficient to obtain the required assertions. \square

The 2-ordinarity assumption implies by Proposition 2.3.1 that we have a decomposition

$$(10.1.1) \quad (H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k)), \varphi) \simeq (U \otimes W(k), 1 \otimes \sigma) \oplus (E \otimes W(k), p \otimes \sigma) \oplus (H_{\geq 2}, p^2 \varphi').$$

On the other hand, under certain soon to be verified assumptions [IR83, Cor. 4.4 p. 201], the slope and conjugate de Rham–Witt spectral sequences yield a decomposition

$$(10.1.2) \quad H_{\text{cris}}^d(X/W(k)) \simeq H^d(X, W\mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^{d-1}(X, W\Omega_X^1) \oplus H^d(X, \tau_{\geq 2} W\Omega_X^\bullet)$$

Proposition 10.1.2. *The decompositions (10.1.1) and (10.1.2) coincide. Consequently,*

$$U \simeq H^d(X, W\mathcal{O}_X)^{F=1} \simeq H_{\text{ét}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p)$$

is a free \mathbf{Z}_p -module of rank one and

$$E \simeq H^{d-1}(X, W\Omega_X^1)^{F=1} \simeq H_{\text{fl}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)).$$

is a free \mathbf{Z}_p -module of rank r .

Proof. To apply [IR83, Cor. 4.4 p. 201] we need to check that $H^{d-1}(X, ZW\Omega_X^1)$ and $H^d(X, ZW\Omega_X^1)$ are finitely generated W -modules and that the inclusion induces an isomorphism

$$H^{d-1}(X, ZW\Omega_X^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{d-1}(X, W\Omega_X^1).$$

By [IR83, (1.3.1), p. 174] the first two assertions follow from the fact that F is bijective on the finitely generated W -modules $H^{d-1}(X, W\Omega_X^1)$ and $H^d(X, W\Omega_X^1) (= 0)$. Since a decomposition of the type (10.1.1) is unique, we obtain the desired assertion. \square

We note for future reference that the above assertions imply in particular that the composition

$$E \simeq H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mu_p) \simeq H_{\text{ét}}^{d-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^{\times p}) \xrightarrow{d \log} H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1)$$

induces an isomorphism $E \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} k \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1)$.

10.2. Serre–Tate theory for 2-ordinary varieties. Recall that by §2.4 we have an isomorphism $\Phi_X \xrightarrow{\sim} U \otimes \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$ inducing the identity $H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ on the tangent spaces. By rigidity, for every deformation \tilde{X} of X over an Artinian local ring A with residue field k , there exists a unique isomorphism $\Phi_{\tilde{X}} \xrightarrow{\sim} U \otimes \hat{\mathbf{G}}_{m,A}$ extending the given one.

Let \tilde{X}/A be as above, and let $n \geq 0$ be such that $\mathfrak{m}_A^n = 0$. We have a short exact sequence

$$(10.2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{m}_A \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^{\times p^n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^{\times p^n} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We let β_n be the composition

$$\beta_n: H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mu_{p^n}) \simeq H^{d-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^{\times p^n}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^d(X, 1 + \mathfrak{m}_A \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = \Phi_{\tilde{X}}(A) = U \otimes (1 + \mathfrak{m}_A).$$

where δ is the connecting homomorphism induced by (10.2.1). The sequence of maps β_n for $n \gg 0$ induces a homomorphism on the inverse limit

$$\beta: E = H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) = \varprojlim H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mu_{p^n}) \longrightarrow \Phi_{\tilde{X}}(A) = U \otimes (1 + \mathfrak{m}_A).$$

Varying A and \tilde{X} , we obtain a natural transformation

$$\gamma: \text{Def}_X \longrightarrow T,$$

where $T = \text{Hom}(E, U) \otimes \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$ is the formal torus with co-character group $\text{Hom}(E, U)$.

Proposition 10.2.1. *The map γ induces an isomorphism on tangent spaces*

$$\gamma: \text{Def}_X(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} T(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)).$$

Proof. We note first that using Proposition 10.1.2 and Lemma 10.1.1 the target can be identified with

$$(10.2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)), H^d(X, 1 + \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_X)) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}_p}(H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mu_p), H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}_p}(H_{\text{ét}}^{d-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^{\times p}), H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) \end{aligned}$$

$$(10.2.3) \quad = \text{Hom}_k(H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1), H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X)).$$

On the other hand, a deformation $\tilde{X} \in \text{Def}_X(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2))$ corresponds to an element $\text{KS}_{\tilde{X}} \in H^1(X, T_X)$. Cup product with KS induces a homomorphism

$$\cup \text{KS}: H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) \longrightarrow H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

which coincides with the boundary homomorphism coming from the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d\varepsilon} \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

This defines a bijection

$$(10.2.4) \quad \mathrm{Def}_X(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)) \simeq H^1(X, T_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_k(H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1), H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X)).$$

We shall prove that this map equals γ under our identification (10.2.3).

The short exact sequence above fits inside a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 1 + \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^{\times p} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^{\times p} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \log \downarrow & & \downarrow d\log & & \downarrow d\log \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\tilde{X}/k}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X/k}^1 \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

which induces a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{d-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^{\times p}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^d(X, 1 + \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_X) \\ d\log \downarrow & & \wr \downarrow \log \\ H^{d-1}(X, \Omega_X^1) & \xrightarrow{\cup \mathrm{KS}_{\tilde{X}}} & H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X). \end{array}$$

Since δ above corresponds to $\gamma(\tilde{X})$ under the identification (10.2.2), it follows that $\mathrm{KS}_{\tilde{X}}$ corresponds to $\gamma(\tilde{X})$ under the identification (10.2.3), as desired. \square

Corollary 10.2.2. *If X has unobstructed deformations over $W_m(k)$ for some $m \geq 1$, then γ induces an isomorphism*

$$\gamma: \mathrm{Def}_{X/W_m(k)} \xrightarrow{\sim} T \otimes W_m(k).$$

Remark 10.2.3. In the above discussion, we chose to be as direct as possible. In particular, the reader might be surprised by the absence of p -divisible groups. The enlarged formal group Ψ_X generalizing the one used by Nygaard can be reconstructed as follows. Let \tilde{X}/A be deformation of X , corresponding to a homomorphism

$$\beta: H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \longrightarrow \Phi_{\tilde{X}}(A) = H^d(\tilde{X}, 1 + \mathfrak{m}_A \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}).$$

One can construct a morphism $\bar{\beta}$ making the diagram below commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p / \mathbf{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^d(\tilde{X}, 1 + \mathfrak{m}_A \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(\tilde{X}, \mu_{p^\infty}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mu_{p^\infty}) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By reasoning analogous to [Nyg83, Corollary 1.4], the right arrow is an isomorphism, thus setting $\Psi_{\tilde{X}}(B) = H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(\tilde{X} \otimes B, \mu_{p^\infty})$ as in [Nyg83, Corollary 1.5], the bottom row can be interpreted as the extension of p -divisible groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \Phi_{\tilde{X}} \longrightarrow \Psi_{\tilde{X}} \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p / \mathbf{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is the pushout of the top row above under β . Consequently, this extension corresponds to β under Messing's isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)), \Phi_{\tilde{X}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_A^1(H_{\mathfrak{h}}^d(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p / \mathbf{Z}_p, \Phi_{\tilde{X}}),$$

and our torus T is identified with the deformation space of Ψ_X .

Question 10.2.4. Let L be a line bundle on X . The assumption that $H^{d-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ is equivalent to $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, so the forgetful transformation $\mathrm{Def}_{X,L} \rightarrow \mathrm{Def}_X \simeq T$ is a closed immersion. Is its image preserved by the Frobenius lifting on Def_X , e.g. the kernel of a character of T ?

This is the case for K3 surfaces [Del81, 2.2.2]: the crystalline Chern class $c_1(L)$ lies in $E = H^2(X/W(k))^{F=p}$. If $\chi \in \text{Hom}(T, \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m) = \text{Hom}(U, E)$ maps a basis element of $U \simeq \mathbf{Z}_p$ to $c_1(L)$, then $\text{Def}_{X,L} = \ker \chi$.

A positive answer would provide the moduli spaces of polarized 2-ordinary varieties with trivial canonical bundle with a natural Frobenius lifting.

APPENDIX A. FINITE HEIGHT

In [Yob17], Yobuko defines the notion of a quasi- F -splitting, more general than an F -splitting, and proves that a smooth quasi- F -split variety can be lifted modulo p^2 , generalizing the argument for smooth F -split varieties [Ill96, §8.5]. He also shows that for Calabi–Yau varieties, being quasi- F -split is equivalent to the height of the associated Artin–Mazur formal group being finite. In this section, we give a somewhat different point of view on Yobuko’s construction. Based on this, we extend our construction of a canonical lifting associated to an F -splitting to quasi- F -split varieties, thus showing that there is a preferred lifting mod p^2 . In particular, we show that the smoothness assumption used by Yobuko is not necessary. Some of our results were observed earlier by Langer (unpublished).

It would be interesting to extend this construction to families and to generalize the Serre–Tate theory discussed in this paper to varieties with trivial canonical class of finite height in the spirit of [NO85].

A.1. The canonical lifting. Yobuko defines a *quasi- F -splitting of level m* on an \mathbf{F}_p -scheme X as an additive map $\sigma: W_m \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ satisfying $\sigma(1) = 1$ and which is F -linear in the sense that

$$\sigma(Fx \cdot y) = x_0 \cdot \sigma(y).$$

We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow W_m \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{V} W_{m+1} \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{R^m} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0.$$

Lemma A.1.1. *Let $\sigma: W_m \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ be a quasi- F -splitting of level m on an \mathbf{F}_p -scheme X . Then the image of $\ker(\sigma)$ under V is an ideal in $W_{m+1} \mathcal{O}_X$.*

Proof. Suppose that $x \in W_m \mathcal{O}_X$ satisfies $\sigma(x) = 0$, and let $y \in W_{m+1} \mathcal{O}_X$. Then

$$y \cdot V(x) = V(F(y) \cdot x)$$

and

$$\sigma(F(y) \cdot x) = y \cdot \sigma(x) = 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary A.1.2. *Let $\sigma: W_m \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ be a quasi- F -splitting of level m on an \mathbf{F}_p -scheme X . Then the quotient*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = W_{m+1} \mathcal{O}_X / V(\ker \sigma)$$

defines a lifting of X over $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$, fitting inside a pushout diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & W_m \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{V} & W_{m+1} \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{R^m} & \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Proof. Since σ is surjective, the left square is a pushout. To prove that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is a lifting, we need to check that the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$$

equals multiplication by p . Let $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ be the image of

$$f = (f_0, \dots, f_m) \in W_{m+1} \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Then the image of \tilde{f} in \mathcal{O}_X is f_0 , which is the image under σ of

$$Ff = (f_0^p, \dots, f_m^p) \in W_m \mathcal{O}_X.$$

This in turn has image $V(Ff) = pf$ in $W_m \mathcal{O}_X$, which maps to $p\tilde{f}$ under π . \square

Definition A.1.3. We call the lifting \tilde{X} constructed in Corollary A.1.2 the *canonical lifting* associated to the quasi- F -splitting σ .

A.2. The sheaf $\mathcal{F}_m \mathcal{O}_X$ and Witt vectors mod p . Suppose now that X is a smooth scheme over a perfect field k of characteristic p . We denote by

$$C: Z\Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_X^1$$

the Cartier operator. The sheaf $Z_m \Omega_X^1 \subseteq F_*^m \Omega_X^1$ (resp. consists of local sections ω such that

$$\omega, C(\omega), \dots, C^{m-1}(\omega)$$

are closed. The subsheaf $B_m \Omega_X^1 \subseteq Z_m \Omega_X^1$ consists of local sections ω such that $C^{m-1}(\omega) \in B_X^1$. The map

$$D_m: F_* W_m \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow B_m \Omega_X^1, \quad (f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) \mapsto f_0^{p^{m-1}-1} df_0 + \dots + df_{m-1}$$

is $W_m \mathcal{O}_X$ -linear, and there is a short exact sequence of $W_m \mathcal{O}_X$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow W_m \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{F} F_* W_m \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{D_m} B_m \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

In this situation, Yobuko defined a natural extension of \mathcal{O}_X -modules (denoted (e_m))

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_m \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow B_m \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

and showed that \mathcal{O}_X -linear splittings of this sequence correspond to quasi- F -splittings as defined previously. The exact sequence (e_m) is defined by the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_m \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & B_m \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow C^{m-1} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & F_* \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & B_1 \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

In particular, if X is F -split, the bottom row is, and hence so is (e_m) . Yobuko observes that (e_m) can also be defined as a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & W_m \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{F} & F_* W_m \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{D_m} & B_m \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow R^{m-1} & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_m \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & B_m \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

It follows that quasi- F -splittings are precisely \mathcal{O}_X -linear splittings of (e_m) .

We shall now elucidate the sheaf \mathcal{F}_m and its \mathcal{O}_X -algebra structure. Let X be an \mathbf{F}_p -scheme. Following [HM03, §3], we denote by

$$\bar{W}_m \mathcal{O}_X = W_m \mathcal{O}_X / p \cdot W_m \mathcal{O}_X$$

the mod- p reduction of the ring of Witt vectors of length m over \mathcal{O}_X . Recall from *op.cit.* that the p -th power of the Teichmüller map

$$[-]^p: \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow W_m \mathcal{O}_X$$

is additive modulo p , and therefore induces an \mathcal{O}_X -algebra structure

$$\rho = [-]^p \bmod p: \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \bar{W}_m \mathcal{O}_X$$

on $W_m\mathcal{O}_X$. In addition, the following triangle commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \bar{W}_m\mathcal{O}_X \\ & \searrow F & \downarrow R^{m-1} \\ & & \mathcal{O}_X. \end{array}$$

Consequently, ρ is injective if X is reduced. We denote its cokernel by B_m , so that there is a short exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_X -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \bar{W}_m\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow B_m \longrightarrow 0.$$

The formula

$$V(x) \cdot V(y) = V(FV(x) \cdot V(y)) = p \cdot V(xy)$$

implies that the multiplication in $\bar{W}_m\mathcal{O}_X$ is highly degenerate.

Remark A.2.1. The existence of ρ can be also seen as follows (cf. [OV07, §1.1]): the kernel $VW_{m-1}\mathcal{O}_X$ of $R^{m-1}: W_m\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ has a natural divided power structure, and hence so does the kernel I of

$$R^{m-1}: \bar{W}_m\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X.$$

It follows that $f^p = 0$ for every $f \in I$, and hence the absolute Frobenius of $\bar{W}_m\mathcal{O}_X$ factors naturally through \mathcal{O}_X .

Proposition A.2.2. *The following diagram is a pushout square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_m\mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{F} & W_m\mathcal{O}_X \\ R^{m-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \bar{W}_m\mathcal{O}_X. \end{array}$$

Proof. The commutativity of the diagram follows from the formula

$$\begin{aligned} F(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) &= (f_0^p, \dots, f_{m-1}^p) \\ &= [f_0]^p + VF(f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}) \\ &= [f_0]^p + p \cdot (f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}) \equiv \rho(f_0) \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the vertical arrows are surjective, it remains to check that the induced map

$$F: \ker R^{m-1} \longrightarrow \ker \pi$$

is an isomorphism. This follows from

$$F(\ker R^{m-1}) = F(V(W_{m-1}\mathcal{O}_X)) = p \cdot W_{m-1}\mathcal{O}_X. \quad \square$$

Corollary A.2.3. *Suppose that X is a smooth scheme over k . There are natural isomorphisms*

$$\bar{W}_m\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \mathcal{F}_m\mathcal{O}_X \quad \text{and} \quad B_m \simeq B_m\Omega_X^1$$

fitting inside a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \bar{W}_m\mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & B_m \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_m\mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & B_m\Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

We can therefore rephrase the definition of a quasi- F -splitting as follows.

Definition A.2.4. Let X be an \mathbf{F}_p -scheme. A *quasi- F -splitting of level m* on X is an \mathcal{O}_X -linear splitting $\sigma: \bar{W}_m\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ of the map

$$\rho: \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \bar{W}_m\mathcal{O}_X.$$

APPENDIX B. FAMILIES WITH VANISHING KODAIRA–SPENCER

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let S be a smooth k -scheme. Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth morphism. Applying Rf_* to the short exact sequence on X

$$(B.0.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow T_{X/S} \longrightarrow T_{X/k} \longrightarrow f^*T_{S/k} \longrightarrow 0$$

yields an exact sequence on S

$$(B.0.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow f_*T_{X/S} \longrightarrow f_*T_{X/k} \longrightarrow f_*f^*T_{S/k} \xrightarrow{\delta} R^1f_*T_{X/S}.$$

The *Kodaira–Spencer map* of X/S is the map

$$\text{KS}_{X/S}: T_{S/k} \longrightarrow R^1f_*T_{X/S}$$

obtained as the composition of the adjunction map $T_{S/k} \rightarrow f_*f^*T_{S/k}$ and the map $\delta: f_*f^*T_{S/k} \rightarrow R^1f_*T_{X/S}$.

Theorem B.0.1 (cf. [Ogu78a, Lemma 3.5]). *Suppose that $\text{KS}_{X/S} = 0$ and $f_*T_{X/S} = 0$. Then there exists a canonical cartesian diagram*

$$(B.0.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \xrightarrow{F_{S/k}} & S'. \end{array}$$

Proof. The assumptions $\text{KS}_{X/S} = 0$ and $f_*T_{X/S} = 0$ combined with the exactness of (B.0.2) show that the adjunction map $T_{S/k} \rightarrow f_*f^*T_{S/k}$ factors uniquely through a map $u: T_{S/k} \rightarrow f_*T_{X/k}$. By another adjunction, we obtain a map $v: f^*T_{S/k} \rightarrow T_{X/k}$ which splits (B.0.1). We check that v defines a 1-foliation (see [Eke88, I.1, p. 104]), i.e. that its image is closed under the Lie bracket and p -th iterates. The respective obstructions [op.cit. Lemma 1.4, p. 105] are maps

$$\wedge^2 f^*T_{S/k} \longrightarrow T_{X/S} \quad \text{and} \quad F_X^* f^*T_{S/k} \longrightarrow T_{X/S}$$

by adjunction correspond to maps

$$\wedge^2 T_{S/k} \longrightarrow f_*T_{X/S} \quad \text{and} \quad F_S^* T_{S/k} \longrightarrow f_*T_{X/S}$$

which both vanish because the target is zero. We define Y to be the quotient by this 1-foliation. \square

Remark B.0.2. As the example $S = \mathbf{P}_k^1$ and $X = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(1)) \rightarrow S$ shows, the assumption that $f_*T_{X/S}$ is necessary. Note that in this example X/S still descends along $F_{S/k}$ locally on S . To produce examples which do not descend even locally, one can take X/S a Brauer–Severi variety whose corresponding class in $H_{\text{ét}}^2(S, \mathbf{G}_m)$ is not divisible by p .

Of course, if $\text{KS}_{X/S} = 0$, then the adjunction map $T_{S/k} \rightarrow f_*f^*T_{S/k}$ can locally be lifted to a map $u: T_{S/k} \rightarrow f_*T_{X/k}$ (since $T_{S/k}$ is locally free). However, for the above proof to work, we need the lifting u to be compatible with the restricted Lie algebra structures.

REFERENCES

- [AM77] Michael Artin and Barry Mazur, *Formal groups arising from algebraic varieties*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **10** (1977), no. 1, 87–131. MR [0457458](#)
- [AWZ17] Piotr Achinger, Jakub Witaszek, and Maciej Zdanowicz, *Liftability of the Frobenius morphism and images of toric varieties*, [arXiv:1708.03777](#) (2017).
- [BG16] James Borger and Lance Gurney, *Canonical lifts of families of elliptic curves*, [arXiv:1608.05912](#) (2016).
- [BK86] Spencer Bloch and Kazuya Kato, *p -adic étale cohomology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1986), no. 63, 107–152. MR [849653](#)

- [BO78] Pierre Berthelot and Arthur Ogus, *Notes on crystalline cohomology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1978. MR [0491705](#)
- [Bog78] Fedor A. Bogomolov, *Hamiltonian Kählerian manifolds*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **243** (1978), no. 5, 1101–1104. MR [514769](#) (80c:32024)
- [CvS09] Sławomir Cynk and Duco van Straten, *Small resolutions and non-liftable Calabi-Yau threefolds*, Manuscripta Math. **130** (2009), no. 2, 233–249. MR [2545516](#) (2010k:14074)
- [Del81] Pierre Deligne, *Cristaux ordinaires et coordonnées canoniques*, Algebraic surfaces (Orsay, 1976–78), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 868, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981, With the collaboration of L. Illusie, With an appendix by Nicholas M. Katz, pp. 80–137. MR [638599](#)
- [DI87] Pierre Deligne and Luc Illusie, *Relèvements modulo p^2 et décomposition du complexe de de Rham*, Invent. Math. **89** (1987), no. 2, 247–270. MR [894379](#)
- [Eke88] Torsten Ekedahl, *Canonical models of surfaces of general type in positive characteristic*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1988), no. 67, 97–144. MR [972344](#)
- [ES15] Hélène Esnault and Atsushi Shiho, *Convergent isocrystals on simply connected varieties*, 2015.
- [ESB05] Torsten Ekedahl and Nicholas I. Shepherd-Barron, *Tangent lifting of deformations in mixed characteristic*, J. Algebra **291** (2005), no. 1, 108–128. MR [2158513](#) (2006d:13015)
- [Fin10] Luís R. A. Finotti, *Lifting the j -invariant: questions of Mazur and Tate*, J. Number Theory **130** (2010), no. 3, 620–638. MR [2584845](#)
- [GS06] Mark Gross and Bernd Siebert, *Mirror symmetry via logarithmic degeneration data. I*, J. Differential Geom. **72** (2006), no. 2, 169–338. MR [2213573](#)
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR [0463157](#) (57 #3116)
- [Hir99] Masayuki Hirokado, *A non-liftable Calabi-Yau threefold in characteristic 3*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **51** (1999), no. 4, 479–487. MR [1725623](#) (2000m:14044)
- [HM03] Lars Hesselholt and Ib Madsen, *On the K -theory of local fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **158** (2003), no. 1, 1–113. MR [1998478](#)
- [Ill79] Luc Illusie, *Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), no. 4, 501–661. MR [565469](#)
- [Ill96] ———, *Frobenius et dégénérescence de Hodge*, Introduction à la théorie de Hodge, Panor. Synthèses, vol. 3, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1996, pp. 113–168. MR [1409820](#)
- [IR83] Luc Illusie and Michel Raynaud, *Les suites spectrales associées au complexe de de Rham-Witt*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1983), no. 57, 73–212. MR [699058](#)
- [Kat72] Nicholas M. Katz, *Algebraic solutions of differential equations (p -curvature and the Hodge filtration)*, Invent. Math. **18** (1972), 1–118. MR [0337959](#)
- [Kat73] ———, *Travaux de Dwork*, 167–200. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 317. MR [0498577](#)
- [Kat79] ———, *Slope filtration of F -crystals*, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes (Rennes, 1978), Vol. I, Astérisque, vol. 63, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1979, pp. 113–163. MR [563463](#)
- [Kat81] ———, *Serre-Tate local moduli*, Algebraic surfaces (Orsay, 1976–78), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 868, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981, pp. 138–202. MR [638600](#)
- [Kaw92] Yujiro Kawamata, *Unobstructed deformations. A remark on a paper of Z. Ran: “Deformations of manifolds with torsion or negative canonical bundle”* [*J. Algebraic Geom.* **1** (1992), no. 2, 279–291; MR1144440 (93e:14015)], *J. Algebraic Geom.* **1** (1992), no. 2, 183–190. MR [1144434](#) (93e:14016)
- [Kaw97] ———, *Erratum on: “Unobstructed deformations. A remark on a paper of Z. Ran: ‘Deformations of manifolds with torsion or negative canonical bundle’”* [*J. Algebraic Geom.* **1** (1992), no. 2, 183–190; MR1144434 (93e:14016)], *J. Algebraic Geom.* **6** (1997), no. 4, 803–804. MR [1487238](#) (98k:14009)
- [LS77] Herbert Lange and Ulrich Stühler, *Vektorbündel auf Kurven und Darstellungen der algebraischen Fundamentalgruppe*, Math. Z. **156** (1977), no. 1, 73–83. MR [0472827](#)
- [Maz73] Barry Mazur, *Frobenius and the Hodge filtration (estimates)*, Ann. of Math. (2) **98** (1973), 58–95. MR [0321932](#)
- [MB85] Laurent Moret-Bailly, *Pinceaux de variétés abéliennes*, Astérisque (1985), no. 129, 266. MR [797982](#)
- [Moc96] Shinichi Mochizuki, *A theory of ordinary p -adic curves*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **32** (1996), no. 6, 957–1152. MR [1437328](#)
- [MR85] Vikram B. Mehta and Annamalai Ramanathan, *Frobenius splitting and cohomology vanishing for Schubert varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **122** (1985), no. 1, 27–40. MR [799251](#)
- [MS87] Vikram B. Mehta and Vasudevan Srinivas, *Varieties in positive characteristic with trivial tangent bundle*, Compositio Math. **64** (1987), no. 2, 191–212, With an appendix by Srinivas and M. V. Nori. MR [916481](#)

- [NO85] Niels O. Nygaard and Arthur Ogus, *Tate's conjecture for K3 surfaces of finite height*, Ann. of Math. (2) **122** (1985), no. 3, 461–507. MR [819555](#)
- [Nyg83] Niels O. Nygaard, *The Tate conjecture for ordinary K3 surfaces over finite fields*, Invent. Math. **74** (1983), no. 2, 213–237. MR [723215](#)
- [Ogu78a] Arthur Ogus, *F-crystals and Griffiths transversality*, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Algebraic Geometry (Kyoto Univ., Kyoto, 1977), Kinokuniya Book Store, Tokyo, 1978, pp. 15–44. MR [578852](#)
- [Ogu78b] ———, *Griffiths transversality in crystalline cohomology*, Ann. of Math. (2) **108** (1978), no. 2, 395–419. MR [506993](#)
- [Ols07] Martin C. Olsson, *Crystalline cohomology of algebraic stacks and Hyodo-Kato cohomology*, Astérisque (2007), no. 316, 412 pp. (2008). MR [2451400](#)
- [OV07] Arthur Ogus and Vadim Vologodsky, *Nonabelian Hodge theory in characteristic p* , Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2007), no. 106, 1–138. MR [2373230](#)
- [Ran92] Ziv Ran, *Deformations of manifolds with torsion or negative canonical bundle*, J. Algebraic Geom. **1** (1992), no. 2, 279–291. MR [1144440](#) (93e:14015)
- [Sch03] Stefan Schröer, *The T^1 -lifting theorem in positive characteristic*, J. Algebraic Geom. **12** (2003), no. 4, 699–714. MR [1993761](#) (2004i:14011)
- [Sch04] ———, *Some Calabi-Yau threefolds with obstructed deformations over the Witt vectors*, Compos. Math. **140** (2004), no. 6, 1579–1592. MR [2098403](#) (2005i:14051)
- [Ser06] Edoardo Sernesi, *Deformations of algebraic schemes*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 334, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. MR [2247603](#)
- [Tia87] Gang Tian, *Smoothness of the universal deformation space of compact Calabi-Yau manifolds and its Petersson-Weil metric*, Mathematical aspects of string theory (San Diego, Calif., 1986), Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., vol. 1, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1987, pp. 629–646. MR [915841](#)
- [Tod89] Andrey N. Todorov, *The Weil-Petersson geometry of the moduli space of $SU(n \geq 3)$ (Calabi-Yau) manifolds. I*, Comm. Math. Phys. **126** (1989), no. 2, 325–346. MR [1027500](#) (91f:32022)
- [vdGK03] Gerard van der Geer and Toshiyuki Katsura, *On the height of Calabi-Yau varieties in positive characteristic*, Doc. Math. **8** (2003), 97–113. MR [2029163](#)
- [War14] Matthew Ward, *Arithmetic Properties of the Derived Category for Calabi-Yau Varieties*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2014, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Washington. MR [3271876](#)
- [Yob17] Fuetaro Yobuko, *Quasi-Frobenius-splitting and lifting of Calabi-Yau varieties in characteristic p* , [arXiv:1704.05604](#) (2017).
- [Zda18] Maciej Zdanowicz, *Liftability of singularities and their Frobenius morphism modulo p^2* , International Mathematics Research Notices **2018** (2018), no. 14, 4513–4577, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnw297>.

-
- [SGA 5] *Cohomologie ℓ -adique et fonctions L* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 589, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1965–1966 (SGA 5), Edité par Luc Illusie. MR [0491704](#) (58 #10907)

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
 UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-656 WARSAW, POLAND
E-mail address: pachinger@impan.pl

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE, CHAIR OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY
 MA C3 585 (BÂTIMENT MA), STATION 8, CH-1015 LAUSANNE
E-mail address: maciej.zdanowicz@epfl.ch